1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION 3 PLAINTIFF'S **EXHIBIT** 4 5 LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al. 6 Plaintiffs: 7 No. B:08-487 (HGT) vs. 8 : Civil Action FEDERAL EMERGENCY 9 MANAGEMENT AGENCY 10 Defendant : 11 12 Washington, D.C. September 6, 2012 13 14 Deposition of: 15 JOHN M. CARLETON, JR., 16 called for oral examination by counsel for 17 Plaintiffs, pursuant to notice, held at the 18 offices of the U.S. Department of Justice, 20 19 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.,, 20 beginning at 9:22 a.m., before Lynell C.S. 21 Abbott, a Notary Public in and for the 22 District of Columbia, when were present on

	Case 5:21-cv-00071 Document 42-1 Filed on 11/21/22 in TXSD Page 3 of 299
	3
1	
2	CONTENTS
3	WITNESS: JOHN M. CARLETON, JR.
4	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE
5	MR. WESEVICH 4
6	MS. WELLS 295
7	
8	EXHIBITS
9	DEPOSITION NO. MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
10	1. CD 13
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

- deposition before?
 - I have not. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And one of the rules is that when 0. I ask a question, our court reporter needs to be able to record what I say and what you say.

So it's kind of important that we not talk over one another. I'll do my best not to talk over you, and please try to do that. Okay?

A. Yes.

- Q. And another one of the rules is that we have to have verbal answers. A shake of the head doesn't really work.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. We've got to have verbal answers.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the most important thing in the deposition is that if you don't understand one of my questions, if it's not clear to you, will you please tell me so that I can try to clarify it for you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you want to take a break at any time, you're welcome to do that. Simply let us know.
 - A. Very good.
- Q. I will show you a series of documents during this deposition as I ask you

questions. Okay?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The documents will have a number that is in green written on the very bottom of the screen. The document that you and I are discussing I will flash up on the screen.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. If you want to see pages before or after a document, will you please just let me know and I'll be happy to change it --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- on the screen?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I understand that you have the hard copies of the documents with you, and you're welcome to refer to the hard copies that you brought with you at any time as well.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And of course you understand that my job is to understand how FEMA conducts its operations and that no one accuses you personally of having done anything wrong.

Right?

A. Yes.

- Q. You're just here to give the Agency's testimony about what happened.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Could you please describe your education?
- A. My education? I have a bachelor's degree in architectural engineering from Wentworth, graduated in 1983. I have an associate's degree in architectural engineering technology from Wentworth Institute -- I mean from Roger Williams College. And that is in Rhode Island. And I graduated with an associate's degree in 1980.

I have been with FEMA for over 21 years, started out as a local hire which is a person hired in support of a disaster. I became a full-time FEMA employee in December of 1992. I have since worked in the areas of Individual Assistance, Public Assistance, Mitigation, Operations, and I was originally

- hired in Region 1. And I've been at FEMA
 Headquarters since June of 2007.
 - Q. Are you in the Senior Executive Service?
 - A. No, I am not.
 - Q. Are you a confirmed appointee?
 - A. No, I am not.

- Q. Describe to me who is confirmed by the Senate besides Administrator Fugate.
- A. There is the Deputy Assistant, the Deputy Administrator, Richard Serino. There is Beth Zimmerman who is the Recovery
 Assistant Administrator. There is William
 Carwile who is another Assistant
 Administrator. And there are several others in my division. They're all career employees, in other words. My supervisor, who is Mark
 Misczak. Then there is Mike Grimm who is my
 Division Director. They are career employees.
- Q. Some of these names have come up in the documents. Who is Buryl Jones?
 - A. Buryl Jones used to be the

- Division Director for Individual Assistance.

 He is now working out at Mount Weather. Mike

 Grimm is now in Buryl Jones' position.
 - Q. And Donna Dannels?

- A. Donna Dannels. She had retired I believe in 2008. And she was at the time, I believe she was Buryl Jones' boss. So I believe she was like the Associate Director.
- Q. So she was in the position that Elizabeth Zimmerman now occupies?
- A. She's actually in the position that Deb Ingram occupies. Deb Ingram is Deputy Associate Administrator for Recovery.
- Q. Now, you said you had worked in both Public Assistance and in the Individual Assistance programs?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is it fair to say that Public
 Assistance provides repair resources for
 cities, states, and government entities while
 Individual Assistance provides disaster repair
 assistance for individual people?

- A. Yes, it's fair to say that. In addition, too, Individual Assistance provides a variety of other programs under Human Services which also includes grants to states for such things as crisis counseling, disaster case management, and other programs.
- Q. Right. But to keep it manageable in this deposition, we're talking about home repair unless we say explicitly otherwise.

 Right?
 - A. Yes, correct.

- Q. But when it comes to repair of buildings, the Public Assistance is going to repair public buildings and the Individual Assistance program repairs private buildings.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, Mrs. Zimmerman oversees both the Public Assistance and the Individual Assistance programs. Correct?
- A. Yes. Yes. She is the Associate

 Deputy Administrator for Response and

 Recovery. So she oversees the recovery aspect

- which is both PA, Public Assistance, and Individual Assistance.
 - Q. We can say PA for Public

 Assistance Program and IA for Individual

 Assistance. Right?
 - A. Correct.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And those are commonly used within FEMA?
 - A. Yes, they are.
 - Q. And they are basically parallel administrative structures, the PA Program and the IA Program. Right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. There is just a separate statute that governs each of those programs. Correct?
 - A. It's under the Stafford Act.

 There are different authorities within the Stafford Act that govern the two different programs.
 - Q. Right. And it's 406 for PA or 42
 USC 5172. Correct?
 - A. It's Section 403 for the Public

Assistance. Section 408 governs the Individual Assistance.

- Q. Right. So there are separate statutes for PA and IA, and then there's a separate set of regulations underneath each statute by which PA is administered and by which IA is administered?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And the IA regulations are 44 CFR 206.110 through 120. Is that correct?
 - A. I believe that is correct.
- Q. And are there other regulations besides those that govern IA?
- A. Not that govern the Individual
 Assistance programs. There are other
 regulations that govern other type of programs
 that assist in Individual Assistance. But for
 the sake of the repair program, it is under
 Section 206 of the 44 CFR.
- Q. And there is a whole separate set of regulations that governs operation of the PA program. Correct?

A. That is correct.

Marked as Page 5891. And I'm going to go ahead and as we look at the first document here, I will ask the court reporter to please mark as Exhibit Number 1 a CD, a copy of which has been provided to defense counsel that has all the documents that we're going to refer to today.

(Item referred to marked

Deposition Exhibit No. 1 for identification

and subsequently returned to counsel with the

Deposition transcript for his retention.)

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. So would you please look at Document 5891?
- A. It's a little blurry. Can it be sharpened?
 - Q. Sure, of course. Better?
 - A. Yes, better.
- MS. WELLS: Is it possible for you to tell us how long this document is in its

1 entirety? 2 MR. WESEVICH: It's on FEMA's 3 website. It's 57 pages long. We're not going 4 through the whole thing, believe me. THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 BY MR. WESEVICH: 6 7 Okay. Can you please look at just Q. 8 the first paragraph on Page 5892? 9 MS. WELLS: The first full 10 paragraph? 11 MR. WESEVICH: Yes, ma'am. 12 MS. WELLS: So not the carryover 13 one at the top of the page. 14 MR. WESEVICH: The carryover one, 15 that's right. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 BY MR. WESEVICH: 18 Have you had a chance to look at Q. that, what's marked on 5891 and 92? 19 20 Yes, I have. Α. 21 My question is is IA administered Q. 22 the same way as this describes PA being FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

administered, generally?

- A. Generally, yes.
- Q. So there is the statute governs.
- 4 Underneath that is the regulations.
- 5 Underneath that is a set of FEMA policies for 6 how to carry out the program.
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And the reason that FEMA has that set of policies interpreting the regulations is to ensure consistency in decisions of what repair is going to be provided?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of question.

You can answer it if you --

THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes. It does set a consistent standard. However, we still maintain flexibility where we can. There is a number of different situations that come up on any given disaster that will need to be addressed, and typically we will just follow that policy. But in some instances we would have to modify that to fit the situation.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. And who would modify the policies?
- number of people. It depends on what the topic is. It depends on how it's to be determined. It goes through a writing process, a vetting process, of which there are a number of people that look at it. And then it goes to a concurrence process. So depending upon the document, it could be modified either by, for instance, Beth Zimmerman or Deb Ingram.
- Q. But as to PA, this Document 5892 says that FEMA issues policies so that the regulations are interpreted consistently across the nation and from disaster to disaster.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And does FEMA do the same thing for IA?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. I'll show you Document 29. Would

- 1 you please have a look at that.
- A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Have you had a chance to read
 Document 29?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Page 29, I should say. What is
- A. That is a policy. It is

 9 establishing a policy for the minimal amount

 10 of award to be assisted for habitability

 11 items.
- Q. This is a FEMA policy that applies in IA. Correct?
 - A. Yes, and it's dated July of 2005.
 - Q. And this policy states an eligibility requirement for home repair assistance. Correct?
 - A. It states a minimal amount of award that could be provided, not necessarily eligibility for such award. In other words, it doesn't get into the eligibility requirements of what that \$50 would stand for.

It just simply says that we would not issue anything less than a \$50 award assistance.

- Q. If someone was otherwise eligible for repair assistance and the total amount was \$40 that they were eligible for based on this policy would they be deemed ineligible?
- A. They would not receive assistance, yes.
 - Q. Does this policy appear in the Stafford Act, 42 USC 5174?
 - A. No, it does not.
 - Q. Does it appear in any regulation?
 - A. No, it does not.
- Q. But this is binding on everyone in FEMA. Correct?
- A. Yes. This is part of the processing for which individuals could be eligible to receive that minimal amount of award.
 - Q. How did FEMA choose \$50?
- A. The Stafford Act refers to minor repair, of which it would be reasonable to

expect the applicant at the landlord to make such minor repairs. This sets the standard of what would be considered the minimal award. So it basically sets the threshold for what that minor repair would indicate on habitability items. So it is in reference to setting a standard that is reflective in the regulations of which it would indicate that individuals and landlords are expected to make some repair to minor damage.

- Q. As I understand your testimony, you say that the Stafford Act contains the words "minor repair."
 - A. The regulations stipulate.
- Q. And we're just going to have to be very specific about where we are here.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. So where in the regulations do the words "minor repair" appear?
- A. I would have to doublecheck that.

 I know that it does stipulate in the Code of

 Federal Regulations that it is expected that

The landlord or the owner would make repairs.

I believe it says minor repairs or minimal repairs. I would have to actually look at the regulation to verify the words.

- Q. So as I understand your testimony,
 FEMA's decision to use \$50 as a numeric
 standard is an interpretation of the word
 "minor repairs" that appears somewhere in the
 regulations.
- A. It's an interpretation of the language that appears in the 44 CFR, indicating that it is expected that the homeowner or the landlord can make minor repairs. I don't know if -- I would have to determine whether or not actual minor repairs is identified. I do know that in -- it's either "minor repairs" or "minimal repairs" is used.
- Q. Let's see if I can help you with that. I'm showing you Page 5004. This is a document that FEMA produced and filed in Court as Document 78-7. And it's a 108-page

PowerPoint.

Do you recall this document?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Describe it.
- A. I believe this is the information material used in the training for the FEMA contract inspectors.
 - Q. Who wrote it?
- A. I do not know. I believe it was probably developed out at the Virginia NPSC of which that is where the inspection services manager and it's all coordinated through the Virginia NPSC. I don't know who the author of this particular document is.
- Q. Now, just to clarify on the hierarchy here, because there was a hierarchy document that was produced but it was so small we couldn't read it. But the policy at FEMA as to what repair assistance will be provided is made only at Headquarters. Correct?
- A. From the regulation it describes what damages would be eligible and describes a

whole host of what could be determined eligible. The policy is written from FEMA Headquarters in terms of any direction or clarification required in the regulation. And then the NPSC is responsible for processing based upon policy and guidance and basically just processing.

- Q. Is it fair to say that there are four physical locations for FEMA, Number 1 being Headquarters here in D.C., Number 2 being the NPSCs -- which are the N-P-S-Cs -- and there are several of them around the nation. There is one in Denton, Texas, and there is one in Virginia, and I believe there was one in Puerto Rico or something like that.
- A. The Puerto Rico NPSC closed. There's also one in Maryland.
- Q. Then there is the regional offices of FEMA. And then there are the disaster sites where FEMA maintains temporary offices at disaster sites.
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. And is that accurate that there are basically four locations where FEMA does business and those are them?
- A. In addition to those, in a disaster site we'll also establish disaster recovery centers or disaster joint recovery centers with a state of which we would then set up temporary offices during a disaster for people to come in and apply and get answers to their questions and also meet with other state and local agencies that offer assistance in the recovery effort. And that, too, is a very temporary office that's established through the joint field office, established for that disaster.
- Q. So this document that's Page 5004 and forward is a training presentation that was written by NPSC based on FEMA policy.

 Correct?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. But you just don't know which FEMA employee at NPSC wrote this document.

1 A. That's correct.

- Q. And this is the training document that was used to train inspectors who worked in Disaster 1780. Correct?
 - A. That is my knowledge, yes.
 - Q. Please look at Page 5045.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that the regulation that you were referring to that the \$50 rule that we previously discussed is based on?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Is there any other regulation?
 - A. No. I do not believe there is.
 - Q. And do you understand that 44 CFR Section 206.101 is no longer an effective regulation?
 - A. Yes. That has been replaced. And I do not know the new citation, but this was from the 2002 Code of Federal Regulations.

 However, it still applies that minor repairs of a minor nature may not be considered eligible for assistance.

So FEMA's \$50 rule -- is that a 1 Q. 2 fair shorthand way of saying it, the \$50 rule? 3 Α. Yes. 4 So that \$50 rule is based on an 0. 5 expired regulation. 6 Yes, according to this Section 7 206.101. There is not a new regulation that 8 **Q**. 9 is in force right now that says this same 10 thing. Correct? I do not believe there is. 11 Α. I 12 would have to verify that. 13 FEMA produced a lot of policies in Q. 14 response to our document request about 15 individual assistance. And I am showing you 16 one here at Page 29, and then there's another 17 one here at Page 30. 18 Α. Yes. I just want to know whether or not 19 0. 20 you are familiar with that format that's on 21 Page 30 for publishing FEMA policies. 22 Α. Yes, I am.

- Q. So where you have a DAP number that is in the 9,400 range, are all of those FEMA IA policies?
- A. I don't know if they all are.

 That is a number that is assigned for most policies. And it's going to vary but I don't know if it's all within the 9400 range. There are other policies that are in the 9500 range, and I don't know how that number is actually assigned.
- Q. Okay. Now, I'm showing you Pages 5951 onward. This lists the 95 series policies. And they will appear here in the same, in the same general format with a broad border around, and they all have a DAP 9521 policy. Correct?
- A. Yes. This is the newer format that I don't know when exactly it was implemented. But throughout the years there's been several different formats on policy.
- Q. Right. And, again, we're looking at Pages 5951 onward. We're just scrolling

through and we see a lot of these similarly formatted policies. And these are all in the 9500 range and they're all applied to PA. Correct?

- A. I don't know that for sure.
- Q. So you're not aware that all of the 9500 policies and beyond are PA policies and all the 9400 and beyond are all the IA policies?
- A. I have never really paid too much attention to what the policy number is in terms of whether it's a 9500 or 9400. I do know that the majority of the policies that are produced in IA who have the assigned numbers are the 94. But I do not know if every one of them is 94.
- Q. This document designated 5951, I will represent to you, is all the 9500 series policies and that they all apply to PA.

My question is is there a similar book that contains all of the 9400 policies in one place for IA? Because this is a FEMA

- document on its website --
- A. Right.

- Q. -- that has all of the 9500 policies on it.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. The last one in this document is on debris monitoring and it's marked 9580.203.
 - A. Yes. Yes. I do not think there is a concise listing as we see here in Public Assistance as there is in Individual Assistance.
 - Q. Just so your testimony is clear, your understanding is that there isn't as concise a list of the IA policies as there is of the PA policies that's reflected in this document --
 - A. In the way it's reflected in this document. We have a listing of the policies but it's not in a concise, one binder type document. Public Assistance several years ago did a redevelopment of their entire program to have a digest and all their policies bound.

We are not at that level at this point in
Individual Assistance. Individual Assistance
because it affects individuals, it is
flexible. And we have a lot of issues that
come up of which we would issue guidance or
policy to deal with that particular situation.

The numbered policies are typically policies that go through the entire vetting process and do go through the Federal Register notice for comment. There are other interim policies, draft policies that have to get implemented for a particular disaster that are specific to that disaster. And they typically will not carry the numbers as we see here.

There are several fact sheets.

What I'm looking at now is this 9580.203,

which is a fact sheet. And we do have several

fact sheets for Individual Assistance and

other programs associated with Individual

Assistance that are numbered as well as we

have several policies that are numbered

through the proper sequencing.

- Q. And you're looking at Page 6302 as you say this.
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. As I understand your testimony, these numbered policies go through Notice and Comment and are published in the Federal Register.
- A. I am looking at a Public

 Assistance one that I believe has been fully integrated and vetted and through the public comment period.
- Q. And is it your testimony that if a policy appears in this format, this standard format that FEMA has and it's numbered, it's been through a formal process and it's been through Notice and Comment and published in the Federal Register?
- A. I believe that is accurate when it has the number assigned and it's dated. As I say, with Individual Assistance, there are a number of policies that get issued that are

disaster specific that deal with the particular issue that came about during that event. And typically those do not have a full scope of getting through the Federal Register Notice and Public Comment period because it's a situation that has to be addressed at the time.

- Q. Let's go back to the -- we are looking at Page 29 again. This is the \$50 policy that we've been discussing.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. This does not have a number assigned to it.
 - A. No, it does not.
- Q. So that means it has not undergone Notice and Comment publication. Correct?
- A. I do not know for sure, but I don't believe it has.
 - Q. Now, this policy that's on Page 29 is not disaster specific. Correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. It applies to all disasters.

A. After the date, yes.

- Q. Where do we go to find a complete list of the 9400 series policies for Individual Assistance?
- A. The most complete set is located on the NPSC website, Virginia NPSC website. We also have several copies of listings. I don't know if it's electronically. Over the past year we are making sure that we are consistent in building an electronic library that will list all of the policies.
- Q. So this process that you described earlier where PA policies were more formally organized in the document that we viewed earlier, is that same type of a process being undertaken now as to IA?
- A. Electronically, yes. We are looking to make sure that we are consistently applying all policies and that they will be outward facing, in other words, on the Internet. We have them listed right now on the Intranet and we are making a concerted

effort to make sure that these are outwardly facing on the Internet.

As I mentioned earlier, the Public Assistance went through what they referred to as a bottom-up review several years ago and they reengineered it, and they also came out with publications on policy digests which we are not there yet in Individual Assistance.

We would like to undertake a publication that lists all the policies such as what I was seeing here earlier for Public Assistance, but we have not completed that or we have not engaged in that process yet.

- Q. Okay. To be clear, what you completed, when you said what you completed as to Public Assistance, what you mean is the document that begins on Page 5951.
- A. Yeah. I'm not familiar with this document. I am familiar with the effort that PA has undergone in terms of consolidating all of their policies and putting them into a policy digest.

1 Q. And when you say outward-looking 2 Internet as opposed to inward-looking Intranet, the distinction there is whether 3 4 it's available to the public. Correct? 5 That is correct. Α. So right now the FEMA IA policies 6 0. 7 are not available to the public. As I say, there has been some work 8 Α. 9 within the past year in getting as many 10 policies on the Internet as possible. I haven't monitored how far along they are. 11 12 in 2008, I do not believe they were outwardly 13 facing or on the Internet. 14 0. So one form of an IA FEMA policy 15 that implements regulations is shown on Page 30. 16 Correct? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 And another form is shown on Page Q. 29. 19 Correct? 20 That is correct. Α. 21 And another form is accompanied by 0. 22 a memo like on Page 27. Correct? FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000

(800) 956-8996

- A. Well, this is a memorandum and it's making reference to an interim policy for insurance and real property losses. So this is a memo that is simply referring to the attached interim policy.
- Q. Okay. And the attached interim policy is on Page 26 and it's in the same form as Page 29. Right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I misspoke when I said 26. I meant 28.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Now we're looking at 5154. And part of that is blacked out at the top, but if you look carefully you can see words up there in the very top banner. Again, I'm only asking whether this is a third form of FEMA policy besides the memorandum or the numbered policy.
- A. I am not familiar with that format, and I can't read what is on the top banner.

Q. Maybe Page 5157 is another example. And this is something that FEMA filed in Court as Document 85-2, Page 5.

- A. If I could take a look at that, because I believe this is a processing guidance. I believe what I'm looking at here on Page 5157 is actually processing guidance that has been developed based upon policy. So this is how the NPSC would process under this particular heading of appeal processing guidance, how they would process an appeal. It is not something that it would have been issued from Headquarters as policy. It would have been taken either from the regulations on the appeal process, and this is how FEMA would process such appeals.
- Q. Okay. So that describes how policy is taught and used and it does not set policy. Is that accurate?
- A. I believe it does not set policy and what it does is it establishes how processing would take place for requesting

estimates and receipts for appeal purposes.

- Q. And that's Document or Page 5157.

 And this PowerPoint that includes Page 5045

 that we discussed earlier, that's another

 document in which policy is taught and it does

 not set policy.
- A. It doesn't set policy. What I am looking at now on Page 5045 is the PowerPoint on the training on how habitability and minor repairs are viewed, which is either going to be based upon the regulation or any clarifying policy.
- Q. All right. Let's read Pages 1081 and 1082. Those are the same as the FEMA Bates numbers.

Just for clarity, Ms. Wells, Pages

1 through 4,291 are all the Bates-stamped

documents that FEMA produced. And the page

numbers that I use for the deposition I'm

referring to are identical to those, 1 through

4,291. So that if we talk about a page within

that range, it's the same Bates-stamped pages

1 FEMA has.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you had a chance to read
 4 Pages 1081 and 1082?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. Does this indicate that in addition to the numbered policies and in addition to the memos that are like the one we discussed on Page 29, that there are three additional forms of FEMA policy that have to be approved by Headquarters and that that would be the inspection guidelines, the disaster line items, and the habitability policy?
 - A. The line items and the Inspection Services guidelines are typically not approved by Headquarters. They are reviewed by Headquarters but typically not approved. We look for anything that is out of sync or out of the ordinary that shouldn't belong in guidelines. They are guidelines to the inspectors on how to conduct and how to look

at the various line items, and it's a description of the line items that they are going out and actually physically verifying for damage.

- Q. But each of those documents that you just discussed, there is a template for each of those documents that's approved by Headquarters. Correct?
- A. I am not 100 percent sure about that. The reason why I say that is because we produce the policies from Headquarters and coordinate with the NPSCs on a regular basis. And they have staff at the NPSC that we do the coordination with. I am familiar with the line items and the descriptions of the line items. I am not familiar that they were formally approved by Headquarters.
- Q. So your reading of Documents 1081 and 1082 does not require Headquarters approval of the guidelines document?
- A. No. If you turn to 1082, upon full concurrence, it gives the description and

there are several bullets there. And those individuals reside at the NPSC.

- Q. And just so the record is clear, when the witness says "NPSC," it will appear as N-P-S-C for National Processing Services Center.
 - A. Correct.

- Q. So is it accurate to say that if someone wants to know what the policies are that are under the regulations, that you have to look at all of the memoranda like Page 29, you have to look at all of the numbered policies that we've discussed, you have to look at the IHP guidelines, you have to look at the habitability document, and you have to look at the line item descriptions.
- A. Not all of those are policy. Some of that is guidance and it's guidance based upon policy. So not all of those are formal policy.
- Q. How do you distinguish guidance and guidelines from what you call formal

policy?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

It would depend. And I say that Α. because is it policy written from the Headquarters level of which the NPSC would then develop processing guidance based upon that policy which, again, there is coordination. If Headquarters issues a policy to the NPSC that would affect their processing, it includes many different individuals going through what effect it would have on processing. And then the NPSC would develop guidelines to support the policy decisions to make sure that it is processed in accordance with the policy. And those would be guidelines established for processing at the NPSC.

Similarly, it would also be the same for Inspection Services. Inspection Services would write a process to support the policies or the regulation in terms of getting it accurate so that it does not create something that is not supported by the policy

or regulation.

- Q. Would you agree that having all of these different policies and guidelines and training manuals creates a possibility of inconsistencies among them?
- A. We work very, very hard to make sure that there aren't any inconsistencies. As I mentioned, we work consistently with the NPSCs in terms of if we issue a policy, to work with them in making sure that the guidelines are accurately reflective of what the policy is saying. There are instances where, we come across during a disaster, where something isn't being processed correctly, of which then we may have to modify the guidance or even some cases policy of which we would need to be reflective of an accurate direction either from the 44 CFR or policy.
 - Q. Do the policies change?
- A. When you say change, are you talking about sweeping changes or clarifying?
 - Q. For example, we talked about the

\$50 limit on Page 29.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Does it ever change from \$50?
- A. No, not for that particular policy. There may be a revision of that policy of which a new policy would be issued and the original policy be rescinded. And I believe that \$50 one was actually for processing. It's not something that is -- it's issued to correct or set a limit for processing.

If we could go back to that document, I believe it even refers to it's for processing purposes.

- Q. We are looking at Page 29.
- A. Page 29, yes. And the purpose is to establish a national policy concerning the minimal awards to be provided under the Individual Assistance programs. And this is to set a processing minimum, because a lot of information that is entered into our system of record, if we do not establish a business rule

to say that we would not pay for anything under \$50, we would be issuing a lot of assistance below this minimal award, which prior to this memo we were issuing a lot of assistance, for instance, for very minor, you know, elements that fell under \$50.

So this here is actually the policy that is establishing that minimum threshold for assistance which affects processing at the NPSC.

- Q. Is there any language on Page 29 that refers to processing only?
 - A. No, it does not.
- Q. To your understanding, this rule was applied in Disaster 1780?
 - A. Yes, I believe it was.

MS. WELLS: And I'd just like to clarify that I'm not so sure that the witness has testified that this is a rule. I mean, you know, it's a policy statement. And that's the way you've been referring to it previously as well.

1 MR. WESEVICH: And if I'm not 2 speaking loud enough, please let me know. I'll be happy to speak up. 3 4 BY MR. WESEVICH: I want to understand a little bit 5 0. 6 about how this \$50 policy works in practice. 7 If an inspector sees an item that is valued at less than \$50, are they told not to record it? 8 9 No, they are not. They are to Α. 10 record all damages that they see. 11 0. And is the rule implemented as a 12 business rule in NEMIS? N-E-M-I-S. 13 Α. NEMIS. Yes. 14 0. Thank you. 15 MS. WELLS: Can we just for 16 clarification identify up front what NEMIS 17 stands for? 18 THE WITNESS: National Emergency 19 Management Information System. 20 BY MR. WESEVICH: 21 The way it works as a business Q. 22 rule is all real property damages are recorded FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

by the inspector, but if the computer sees the total damages in a house is under \$50, it denies assistance. Correct?

- A. That is correct. And it also refers to habitability repairs. All damages are recorded as the inspector views them and verifies that damage. And then at the end of reviewing all that damage, the inspector makes a determination as to whether or not the house is habitable. So the \$50 is to eliminate some very minor payments that may or may not have affected the habitability of the home. And it is a business rule that is established within NEMIS.
- Q. You mentioned habitability. In this PowerPoint it says that habitability means a house that's safe, sanitary and functional. Correct?
- A. That is correct, and that there is no disaster-related hazards in determining that habitability determination.
 - Q. So if FEMA standards for safe,

sanitary and functional are not met by a house, then it's not going to be habitable.

Correct?

- A. If it was disaster-related or disaster-caused damages, it would not. In other words, the FEMA inspectors are to go out and capture all damages and make a call as to whether or not it was caused by the event.
- Q. So if a hurricane comes through and it blows a rock into an electric outlet cover and causes it to break on the outside of the house, that's obviously going to create a safety hazard. Correct?
 - A. I wouldn't know that for sure.
- Q. If you have an exposed electric outlet?
- A. Was it covered or is it a simple outlet that was on the outside of the house?
- Q. One of those outlets is on the outside of the house and a hurricane blows a rock into it and it cracks it and it costs \$3.00 to replace but it creates a safety

hazard.

- A. No. It would not be eligible.

 Because it's cracked, it may create a safety
 hazard. However, it's a \$3.00 item, and it is
 expected that the homeowner or the landlord
 would be able to make that repair without
 Federal assistance.
- Q. And that's an instance of where this \$50 policy would come into place, would operate to deny benefits to somebody.

Correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, the statute, the Stafford Act, limits eligibility for assistance, for repair assistance, to disaster-related damages. Correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And that's all the regulations say is, they just repeat this term, "disaster related." Correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. FEMA regulations do not say what

FEMA considers to be disaster related.

- A. No, not in regulation. I believe there is some mention where it describes that disaster related is damage that is a direct result of the disaster.
- Q. But nowhere in the text or regulations does it say that the disaster has to be the main cause, the true cause, the proximate cause, the first cause of the damages. Correct?
- A. I think by what it is stated that it is disaster related is that it would reflect what you had just indicated, that it is the primary cause of that damage, the disaster.
- Q. So FEMA's reading of the statute or regulations is that the disaster must be the primary cause of the damages.
- A. That the, yes, that the damages were caused by the disaster, by the event itself. There are causes of damage that are identified for each disaster declaration.

Whether it be wind-driven rain, hail, tornado, hurricane, there are categories of causes of damage of which the damage has to be reflected by one of those causes of damage identified for that disaster.

- Q. But FEMA's reading of the term
 "disaster related" is that the disaster has to
 be the main or the primary cause of the
 damages.
- A. The disaster would have been declared because of the damages associated with that disaster and that it is beyond the capability of recovery from the state and locals. So FEMA would only be going out there looking at that disaster-related damage for determining eligibility. I don't know if I answered that correctly, but it's sequential the way that a disaster is declared and what would be looked at for an inspector to be even engaged to go out and look at damages.
- Q. I understand that in a disaster declaration there are listed causes of damage.

1 A. Yes.

Q. But my question is when FEMA looks at the term, quote, "disaster related" in Section 408 of the Stafford Act, does it read that term, "disaster related," to mean that the disaster must be the main or the primary cause of the damages?

MS. WELLS: Objection; asked and answered.

But you can answer it again.

THE WITNESS: I would say yes,
because that disaster, that declaration has
identified the causes of damage and the
disaster of which the inspector goes out to
view has to have been caused by that disaster
based upon one of those causes of damage.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. And FEMA has policies for determining which damages are disaster related.
- A. They do not have -- they have line items that are in the inspection process that

list out all sorts of components to a home.

The inspector then has to go out and determine the damages to those components and determine whether or not they were disaster-related damages or not.

Q. I am showing you Page 4584 where FEMA writes that "FEMA does not publish its standards for what is or is not disaster related." Do you see where it says that?

MS. WELLS: Which paragraph is that?

MR. WESEVICH: In Paragraph 23 at the very last clause, "FEMA does not publish its standards for what is or is not disaster related."

THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. What are FEMA's standards for determining what is or is not disaster related?
- A. It is done through the Inspection Services, that based upon the causes of damage

they would go out and they would view the damage and make a determination as to whether or not it was caused by the event. And that is based upon the regulations that identifies the elements of, components of a house that could be determined eligible based upon the damages received due to that event.

Q. But the standards that are referenced in Paragraph 23, are those the inspection guidelines and the line items and the habitability document that we discussed earlier that are on Pages 1081 and 1082?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to this question because this is a document which I believe is the answer --

MR. WESEVICH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. WELLS: -- of the Defendant to Plaintiffs' Complaint and, therefore, it was prepared by attorneys. Without actually seeing even the allegations that were in the Complaint that this is responsive to, this question and this line of questioning is out

of context, Number 1. And, Number 2, you are basically asking him his lay opinion of what is in a legal document that was prepared by attorneys.

And I don't really know -- he can talk generally about the policy. I'm not so sure that he can talk about this particular statement and vouch for it, because it's not necessarily something that he can vouch for.

MR. WESEVICH: Well, it's one of the items that is listed in the Rule 30(b)(6)--

MS. WELLS: It's not listed.

MR. WESEVICH: It's all the documents that were filed in the case.

MS. WELLS: Well, all the documents filed in the case, but as I'm saying, I mean this is a particular question that you are asking him about something that's here.

MR. WESEVICH: This was a document that was filed in the case --

MS. WELLS: And you can ask him questions about it which would not be objectionable. I just think that the particular question you asked and the way that you are asking it here is objectionable. You can ask him generally about what the standards are and whether they publish them. But you can't necessarily drop back to that.

MR. WESEVICH: Well, that is my whole question.

MS. WELLS: Therefore, I think that's a fair reflection of what he's been asked to talk about. He can talk about FEMA's policies. He can't vouch for this particular document which is what you are asking him to do.

MR. WESEVICH: We have no disagreement on this. All my question is is what are the standards that are referenced there.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. What are the standards for what's

disaster related?

- A. It all gets started with the 44

 CFR which identifies what could be eligible,
 and it starts broadly. The actual line items
 of which we saw earlier on the screen that was
 a description of the line items really breaks
 down all the different components of the home.

 It is up to the inspector to make a call as to
 whether or not damages to that home or those
 components of the home are disaster related.
- Q. When you mention the CFR, do you mean 44 CFR Section 118(c) where it lists the different parts of the home? I can show you the regulation if you'd like to see it.
- A. I would have to verify, but I do know it is in the Code of Federal Regulations.
 - Q. I am showing you --

MS. WELLS: Do you mind if we go off the record for a minute?

MR. WESEVICH: Sure.

(Recessed from 10:38 to 10:42

a.m.)

1 BY MR. WESEVICH: 2 Q. We're back on. Mr. Carleton, if you could look at the regulation that's 3 4 206.117(c), does that list the parts of the 5 home that you were referring to that would be 6 checked? 7 Part C? I don't know if I'm --Α. which one are we --8 9 Yeah, (c)(2), 206.117(c)(2) under Q. 10 "Repairs." 11 MS. WELLS: Is it C? 12 MR. WESEVICH: We can move on. 13 THE WITNESS: This actually refers 14 to direct assistance. 15 MR. WESEVICH: I've written down 16 the wrong number in my outline. I'm sorry for 17 the confusion. I'll come back to it. 18 BY MR. WESEVICH: 19 Is deferred maintenance one of the 0. 20 ideas that FEMA uses to decide which damages 21 are disaster related? 22 Deferred maintenance is a term FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000

(800) 956-8996

that's used to describe preexisting condition of components or the overall home itself. So it's not necessarily a term that's used to describe damages that are associated with the disaster.

- Q. You gave a declaration in this case. Correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And I'll show you Page 4893 and 94. And if you could look at the very last sentence on Page 4893, it says, "One of the factors that must naturally be considered when determining what is disaster related is the state of the home prior to the disaster and the state of the home after the disaster."
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So this idea of deferred maintenance is something that FEMA uses to determine which damages are disaster related.
- A. It describes the condition of the home. It's something that deferred maintenance is -- what FEMA inspectors are

supposed to do is go out and they verify what is disaster-related damage. But they also have to look at the condition of the home, and if there is preexisting damages or maintenance that was not up-kept on the home or structure or components of the home that the inspector would identify that as either preexisting or deferred maintenance.

- Q. Now, in Paragraphs 28 and 29 of your declaration --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- Paragraph 28 describes a bunch of examples of deferred maintenance that were just items that existed prior to the disaster, pure preexisting condition. Right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So if somebody has a broken window and then a disaster happens to come through after the window was broken, they can't ask FEMA to pay for that broken window. Right?

 MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

1 You can answer it.

THE WITNESS: Not unless damages resulted because that window was broken. However, in other words, FEMA wouldn't repair the window because that was a preexisting condition. But due to the damages that resulted inside of that window, they may be able to be eligible for some of those elements. But the actual repair of the window would not be eligible because it was a preexisting condition.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Because the window was broken prior to the hurricane coming through.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if somebody asked FEMA to pay for a window that was broken prior to the disaster, that's fraud. Right?
- A. Well, it wouldn't be eligible for assistance. FEMA wouldn't pay it, so.
 - Q. Well, you make every single applicant sign a paper, the Form 9069.

1 Α. Yes. 2 Correct? Q. 3 Correct. Α. 4 And that swears under criminal Q. 5 penalties that the information that the 6 applicant has provided to FEMA is correct. 7 Correct? Right? That is correct. 8 Α. 9 So if somebody is sitting there Q. 10 claiming, "Hey, this window was broken by the disaster" when they know it was broken prior 11 12 to the disaster, that's fraud. Right? 13 If we paid it out and they Α. 14 falsified when that window was broken, it could be considered fraud. 15 16 So when you have a deferred Q. maintenance situation that's a pure 17 18 preexisting condition, you are talking about 19 somebody who is trying to defraud the Agency. 20 MS. WELLS: I am going to object 21 to the form of the question. It's unclear 22 that -- you are equating deferred maintenance

with pure preexisting condition. But I'm not sure that that's an accurate reflection of what Mr. Carleton, how he would define those terms.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. Well, we are going to get -- I
want to be very clear about how you use
"deferred maintenance." And that's what we're
about here.

One use that FEMA has for "deferred maintenance" is when there was something that preexisted the disaster and they're asking for it to be paid for.

A. Or not. And I say that because if an applicant recognizes that the window was broken prior to the event and not seeking FEMA to pay for it, then the inspector would also be asking those type of clarifying questions with the applicant. If there is evidence that that window was broken prior to the event such as water damage on the inside that was preexisting, the inspector would question the

applicant.

- Q. We're going to get to all that in a lot of detail today.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. But that's what I want. I want to be very clear about what this distinction is.
 But one form of deferred maintenance that you referred to in your declaration in Paragraph 28 are items that existed prior to the disaster like the broken window prior to the hurricane. And if somebody asks you to pay for something like that, they're trying to defraud the Agency. Right?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

But you can go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Are they not being truthful in when that window was broken?

Absolutely. Would we pay them based upon their word of simply saying it broke during the event? No, we wouldn't. That is something that the inspector would be asking

more questions about. And if there's evidence that that window was broken prior to the event based upon water stains, the deterioration of that window and everything else, FEMA would not pay it. Therefore, the individual did not commit fraud.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. The document that's shown on Page 587, that's the document that all the applicants sign where they say that they are going to be truthful under pain of criminal penalties.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now we're looking at Page 4466.

 You actually put in giant print in every
 manual that you send to people that if anybody
 suspects anybody is lying about anything to

 FEMA to try to get disaster assistance, then
 they ought to report it.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. In Paragraph 29 of your declaration, you discuss another form of

deferred maintenance which is when there are damages that are caused by a disaster but that could also be affected by deferred maintenance, by some preexisting condition of the home.

- A. Correct.
- Q. So --

- A. Correct.
 - Q. So I want to spend a little time talking about these kind of dual causation situations.

Do you agree that damages to a home can in some cases be a combination of preexisting condition that is worsened by a disaster?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And FEMA has to decide which repairs to pay for in that situation pretty regularly. Right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And it is a lot more common to have this dual causation scenario than it is

to have the situation where somebody is trying to commit fraud on FEMA and ask them to pay for a preexisting condition. Right?

MS. WELLS: I am going to object to the form of the question. You are basically asking leading questions and testifying for the witness.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Please feel free to disagree with my questions. I'm trying to get through a lot of material as quickly as possible, but please feel free to ask me to clarify or disagree with anything that I say. I'm just trying to give you my best understanding of it so we can get through it.
 - A. If you could clarify.
- Q. Okay. You see the dual causation problem all the time where FEMA has to make a call between is this a result of the disaster or is it the result of a preexisting condition where you can't be certain, both of them could have caused it, the damages. Right?

A. Yes. However, you can typically determine whether or not -- in other words, if a disaster occurs and it damages the siding of the house but the siding of the house -- it may have put a hole or ripped off some siding, you know, six square feet, ten square feet, but the rest of the siding is crumbling, that, yes, it could be determined that what was caused by the event was the removal of that six or ten square feet of siding, whereas, the rest of the house may have been deferred maintenance where there's a lot of dry rot or rot or other holes.

But yes, that determination is made by an inspector in the field who has to make that determination as to what was disaster related versus what was a preexisting condition or a deferred maintenance condition.

Q. But my only question at this point is that scenario where an inspector has to go out and discern what was caused by the disaster and what was deferred maintenance,

that happens much more frequently than the situation where an applicant is trying to defraud the Agency and claim that something is caused by the disaster when it wasn't.

A. Yeah, I don't know if it's -- when you say it happens more frequently, I don't know that for sure. Again, we rely a lot of what the applicant is saying based upon the experience of the inspector. The inspector is going to question the applicant and based upon what the inspector is viewing, you know, is it something that is taken to the point where the applicant is adamant that the storm broke this window and, yes, then it becomes a call on behalf of the inspector to say, "No, it was really broken before, because you can tell by all of this damage that occurred not as a result of this disaster."

So I don't know how frequently or infrequently they're making that determination between something that was preexisting or something caused by the event. The inspectors

are instructed to go out and capture all damages as they see it and determine which is disaster related and which is not if there is any preexisting condition.

- Q. I'd like you to look at Page 104.

 Please read that page. Could you let me know when you've had a chance to look at that?
 - A. Yes. Yes, I have completed.
- Q. This part right here where it says "Deferred Maintenance," those three paragraphs where it refers to deferred maintenance, that's the standard that FEMA uses to decide which damages are preexisting conditions and which were disaster related. Correct?
- A. This is describing some of the instances of which deferred maintenance would be applied, yes.
- Q. These three paragraphs under "Deferred Maintenance" on Page 104, that's how FEMA decides what damages are preexisting conditions and which ones are disaster related. Is that correct?

A. No. No. There is preexisting condition, the preexisting condition which could refer to deferred maintenance. Deferred maintenance is more accurate when it describes the examples within these paragraphs where it refers to rotting boards, roofs with missing and/or crumbling shingles, and foundations with pre-disaster cracks which allow unwarranted. It also can apply to many different elements of the home which aren't necessarily captured here.

- Q. By this language, if an inspector has a question about whether something is preexisting damage or whether it was caused by the disaster, then this says that the default is that it's called preexisting condition.

 Correct?
- A. It says that it would be called deferred maintenance. Other elements that aren't mentioned in here, other examples of deferred maintenance could be bricks that have outlasted its lifetime which are crumbling,

windows with sills that are rotted or panes
that are separated due to the caulking. I
mean this is not an inclusive list of
everything that is considered deferred
maintenance. The inspectors that go out
typically have a background in construction.

Deferred maintenance is a typically used description when elements have not been up-kept to a standard of which they will still function for their intended purpose. So this is not inclusive of everything that could be considered deferred maintenance.

- Q. I understood you could have just testified that examples are useful in defining what FEMA means by "deferred maintenance." Is that accurate?
 - A. That is accurate.
- Q. And I also understood you to testify that the inspector's background in construction is helpful in allowing the inspector to distinguish between what's a

preexisting condition and what's caused by the disaster.

A. Yes, that is helpful.

- Q. And this language that is in these first three paragraphs under "Deferred Maintenance," under the heading "Deferred Maintenance." On Page 104, those three paragraphs were applied in decisions that were made for Disaster 1780. Correct?
- A. The concept of deferred maintenance as it's described here were applied, to the best of my knowledge. What we're looking at is the inspection manual for the FEMA inspectors. And this gives the examples and gives some reference to what deferred maintenance is.

And basically what it is saying here is that it is real property that has been neglected to the extent that it will no longer adequately perform its intended function. So this is the overarching reference to where or intent of what deferred maintenance is, but it

is not fully descriptive on everything that could be identified as deferred maintenance.

- Q. But this text right here, it appears in a document that was published by PaRR, P-a-r-r. And I'm looking at Page 98 now. The deferred maintenance language we've been discussing on Page 104 appears in a document that was published by PaRR. Correct?
- Q. But that language, the three paragraphs under "Deferred Maintenance," every single word of that language was written by FEMA. Correct?
 - A. I don't know that for sure.
 - Q. Now we're looking at Page 5382.

MS. WELLS: Can you please identify where this document comes from?

Correct.

MR. WESEVICH: This was provided to you as Exhibit A of the written discovery that we gave to you in November of 2011.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. I'm looking now at Page 5391. And

FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

Α.

this is a document that is the inspection guidelines template that FEMA published, I guess, in May the 8th back in 2003. And would you agree with me that on Page 5391 it shows the identical language that appears in Page 104?

- A. It would appear that it's the same.
- Q. Yes. And when you say it's the same, you mean that the language that FEMA wrote on Page 5391 under the heading "Areas of Deferred Maintenance" is identical to the language for "deferred maintenance" that appears on Page 104.
- A. Yes, I would assume that FEMA provided this document.
- Q. Under the third paragraph under the heading "Areas of Deferred Maintenance" on Page 5391, when FEMA writes that "Disaster damages to these items must be significant, obvious and without question," doesn't that mean that if the inspector has any doubt about

whether something is a preexisting condition or whether the damages were caused by the disaster, that they are to call the item deferred maintenance?

MS. WELLS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Let me read it more carefully here.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Ms. Wells is making a record for the judge to consider. And the judge will consider any of those objections later, but we will just proceed.
- A. Okay, okay. What that paragraph is actually indicating is it's trying to describe in situations where there is deferred maintenance that the line item or the area that's being inspected was significantly worsened by the event. And that means that it must be significant, obvious and without question.
 - Q. So we're going -- were you

finished answering? I don't want to interrupt you.

A. Yes.

"significantly worsened," but right now I want to focus on this term "without question."

Doesn't that term on Page 5391 mean that if there's any doubt, any question about whether something is a preexisting condition or whether it was, the damage was caused by the disaster, that the default is call it deferred maintenance and don't pay?

A. No. It's still left up to the judgment of the inspector. So yes, in the inspector's mind and in what he is reviewing, he or she is seeing, that it's obvious that to that inspector that it was caused by the disaster. So I can't say for sure that what it means in every instance is that it's a default to deferred maintenance. I've always known inspectors to err on the side of applicants. So I mean it's a judgment call by

the inspector as the inspector is reviewing that damage.

- Q. But that's not what that language says. Right?
 - A. It's interpretive. It's basically leaving it up to the inspector in the field to make that call.
 - Q. Where does it say that? What text are you referring to when you so testify?
 - I'm referring to is I may view something one way. You may view something differently while we're viewing the same thing. And so it's a call that, you know, without question. I mean the inspector may have a question whether or not it was caused by the event or not. So it's -- I mean I can't say it's 100 percent of the time going to be yes or no. It's a judgment call by the inspector based upon what he or she is viewing at the time.
 - Q. And how do you reconcile what you just described in your testimony about the

question of deferred maintenance being a judgment call with the text that appears on Page 5391?

A. The inspector has experience in construction and has experience in doing inspections. Each inspector is going to capture the damage. But with each damage that they capture they're making a judgment call on every instance.

So we rely upon the experience and expertise of the inspector to capture the damages as they see it at the time of the inspection. So I can't -- you know, it's second nature to the inspectors who have been trained to go out and look at damage. I mean it's not something you can actually put down in writing. It's subjective and it's a call that we rely upon the inspector to make in the field.

- Q. You produced about 4300 pages of documents in this case.
 - A. Mmm-hmm.

- Q. Do any of them indicate that the inspectors are told that "Whether something is a preexisting condition or whether it is caused by the disaster, that is a judgment call that's up to you"?
- A. I wouldn't know. I have not seen all the documents. However, I would say that we rely upon the expertise of the inspectors to be the eyes and ears of FEMA to verify and validate that damage. If the inspector made a wrong call, the applicant has a right to appeal FEMA's decision based upon the information that was gathered.
- Q. We're going to get to all the appeals. But what I want to know is whether you are able to point to any document that shows in writing that the inspector was told that "Whether something is deferred maintenance is a judgment call that's up to you."
- A. The materials that I have seen, I couldn't point to it. But I haven't seen the

- 4300 pages as you're referring to as to what's been submitted.
- Q. That requires me to get into what you did to prepare for this deposition. I need to understand that.
 - A. Could you clarify?

- Q. Please tell me everything you did to prepare for this deposition.
- A. I read through some of the documentation, my testimony along with all of my exhibits. And I've read through, cursory review of some of the other documents, but not the full range of all documents. I am prepared based upon what I have submitted and my experience with FEMA in answering any questions you have.
- Q. Well, but because you're not apparently able to answer this question about whether a document exists telling inspectors that it's a judgment call up to them about whether something's a preexisting condition or disaster related, as I understand your

testimony is you don't know whether FEMA has a document like that.

A. Correct.

- Q. And so what I need to know is what you've done to find out.
- A. I haven't done anything to find that out. I have worked as an inspector back in 1992, of which I was instructed at the time that "We rely upon you to make your best call and make those determinations for disaster-related damages."

I've also worked with the NPSCs for years and years in addressing some of the concerns that have come up. I do not oversee the Inspection Services contract and I do not produce the documents through Inspection Services on what they say or don't say to the inspectors.

Q. Having worked as an inspector, you can testify from personal experience then that it's not always easy to tell whether something was a preexisting condition or whether

something was caused by the disaster.

- A. I must also preface my response by saying I was an inspector when nothing was automated -- it was all manual and it was in 1992 -- of which I was expected to go out and view damage and make a call as to what was disaster related or not disaster related.
- Q. And you would agree that it's a judgment call as to whether a damage is preexisting or disaster related.
- A. Yes. But at that time the instruction was also to look at only disaster-related damage. So we did not necessarily capture issues related to preexisting damage or deferred maintenance.
- Q. Okay. But would you agree that it's a judgment call often; you can't be sure often whether something is a preexisting condition or not?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And sometimes it takes some real detective work by the inspector to really be

able to tell whether something is a preexisting condition or --

A. A lot of times it's pretty obvious. And I say obvious to the extent that if you go into a basement and it's musty and you can see evidence of mold and everything else, you can pretty much determine whether or not it's new or if it's that's been around for a very long time.

You can also look at a roof, for instance, and if the shingles have buckled, cracked, shrunken, curled, chances are that roof has lived its lifespan, and it has not been replaced or repaired over time. So it's something that the inspector is to look at and determine whether or not it was preexisting, deferred maintenance or actually caused by the disaster.

So when you say it takes a lot of investigatory work, it may and it may not. It depends on the situation. And I say depends on the situation as to how obvious it is.

- Q. Disaster 1780, the one that we are talking about, most of the questions of deferred maintenance and most of the judgment that had to occur involved roofs. Correct?
 - A. To my knowledge, yes.

- Q. Let's talk about roofs. Inspector goes to see a house and sees two shingles missing. How do you tell whether that's deferred maintenance or whether it's -- I mean preexisting condition or disaster caused?
- A. If it's only two shingles missing, chances are it would be not considered sufficient damage unless there was damage beyond, damage caused by those shingles missing. If there is damage that is on the inside of the house and the ceiling or on the wall that would indicate that those shingles had been missing for a while and had not been properly flashed, then it could be determined that those shingles were missing prior to the event and that there was no additional damage caused by the event. And so that is a call

that the inspector would need to make.

So by having two shingles blown off the roof or missing from the roof, there would be other evidence to look at to determine whether or not it was something that was preexisting or as a result of the disaster.

- Q. What I want to understand is all the evidence that you would look at. You're an inspector, you go to the house, two shingles missing. Tell me all the evidence you'd look for.
- inspector would do. I know that an inspector would go out and if the applicant indicates that they had roof shingles blown off, the inspector would look inside for any water damage that may have occurred or anything.

 They would also ask, "Well, has the roof been replaced?" They would ask a series of questions. They would go into the house.
 - Q. So one of the things that they

would do would be ask the applicant.

A. Yeah, that is something that is typically -- every inspector does things possibly differently. But chances are if there's questions, they'll have to ask.

That's part of their investigatory process.

They would be asking questions. They would also look for the evidence to support whatever damages that may have occurred. And that could be looking inside the house, looking at the exterior walls, looking at the ceilings, looking at the condition of, you know, fascia boards, you know, rake boards and all that.

So they would have to have a better understanding as to, you know, whether or not those shingles were missing before the event. They would look for new evidence of damage as a result of the event.

Q. Well, first I want a catalog of what an inspector could look for to find out whether the disaster caused those shingles to come off or whether it was a preexisting

condition. And I understand you to say they look at the inside of the house underneath where those shingles appeared and they would ask the applicant.

- A. They would look at any vicinity that those shingles are missing, and they would look to see if there is any evidence of new damage as a result of the applicant indicating that "Those shingles are now missing from my roof."
- Q. What new damage? Now, I understand you to be talking about right next to those two shingles that were off.
- A. No, no. I mean if the shingles are on the roof and the shingles are now missing, they would look to see if other damages resulted from those shingles being missing. They would go in the house. If they see that there is a water stain or water damage to the ceiling that is not browned, buckled or anything else that would indicate that it may have been there prior to the

event, then he could look at that and indicate that the damages to the roof may have been caused by the event.

Again, if there's evidence that the shingles were missing, goes in the house, there's no new evidence of damage but sees brown stains or water stains or buckled ceiling, then the inspector could make a determination that it was a preexisting condition.

Q. We just have a lot of ground to cover and I want to go quickly, but I need to get a complete catalog.

I hear you saying that if you want to know whether the two shingles were blown off by the disaster, you go inside the house to look at the condition underneath the roof and you talk to the applicant. Is there anything else besides those two that the inspector would do?

- A. Possibly.
- Q. What?

A. If they can view the damage from outside. I mean it depends on the situation. Are we talking about a one-story home that you can step back and look at the roof and make a determination --

Q. Sure.

- A. -- or is it a two-story house that you can't get up on the roof?
 - Q. Let's talk about one story.
- A. Well, again, it's up to the inspector. The inspector is out there to catalog the damage and to look at the damage and make a validation as to whether or not it was caused by the event.
- Q. I'm asking you how a very common sighting by inspectors during Disaster 1780 could have been addressed. And as a former inspector, I want to know all the things that you do to make that decision.
- A. I can tell you what I would do. I cannot tell you what other inspectors would do.

1 Q. Fine.

- A. I would try to physically view that damage to the roof.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I would be talking to the applicant to find out more about the damages associated to that roof.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I would look to see if it's, depending upon the location of where those shingles are missing, if there's evidence of new damage either on the exterior of the house, the interior of the house, if it's a single story home, is it on the corner where it could run down, possibly see some damage in the basement. Water is a funny thing where it can travel.

And so myself, with my knowledge of construction, I would look to try to determine whether or not that damage was a result of that event.

Q. Well, let's take these one by one,

inside the roof, outside on the roof and talking to the applicants.

First, let's talk about the applicants. In all the documents that you produced, you have lots of training materials for the inspectors. Right?

A. Yes.

Q. And none of those documents anywhere ever even once suggest that the inspectors ask the applicant whether damage was preexisting or whether it was caused by the disaster.

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: And I would say that it's up to the inspector. I mean the inspector is out there trying to capture the cause of damage, how it was damaged and the amount of damage.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Right.
- A. It would be in the best interests

of the inspector to question the applicant.

- Q. And FEMA has very detailed questions that the inspectors are directed to ask the applicants about ownership of the home. Correct?
- A. There are questions that they have to verify from the applicant.
- Q. And there are specific documents that they're supposed to verify from the applicant about exactly who owns that property.
 - A. Correct.

- Q. But there's nothing in any of the documents that says to the inspectors "When you're making this judgment about whether something was a preexisting condition or whether it was caused by the disaster, ask the applicant's view."
- A. No. It has to be obvious and based upon the deferred maintenance what it is. It's a judgment call. If you had to lay out every conceivable question that the

inspector has to ask as a mandatory question, it would be impossible to do. So we rely upon the inspectors and their experience and their training to be able to go out, meet with the applicant. They are required to gather certain data and verify certain data, but they also have to conduct an inspection.

We don't necessarily come up with every conceivable question that that inspector needs to ask and validate to that applicant.

It would be an oversome burden to the applicant if we listed out thousands of questions.

Q. But not only does FEMA not come up with every conceivable question, as you just stated, they actually don't even suggest anywhere in the documents that you produced, in the training documents or any other document, that inspectors should get the applicant's claim recorded as to preexisting condition.

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of

1 the question.

THE WITNESS: In writing, no. In writing, no. But --

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. And FEMA records exactly what documents were checked to verify ownership of the residence for each applicant. Correct?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. But FEMA doesn't record anything in ACE 3 -- that's A-C-E 3 -- or NEMIS about the applicant's claim as to preexisting damage.
- A. In comments. If the inspector or the applicant insists on something, that the inspector has an ability to enter those into comments. Within the ACE pen tablet in the software, there's an ability for the inspector to capture what the applicant is stating, but it's not something that FEMA requires the inspector to do.
- Q. And at one time FEMA did require comments on deferred maintenance.

A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And it stopped requiring those comments.
- It stopped requiring the comments with the exception of anything that they want to say about deferred maintenance, because they now have a box in the ACE palm pad or in the ACE software that refers to deferred maintenance. The reason being is because each inspector would put comments on deferred maintenance within their comments, and if an applicant called up to ask questions about their inspection, the caseworker would have to sift through all of the comments to look at whether or not there was that particular item that the applicant was requesting information on was addressed. So they have now identified it as an easier to indicate up front whether or not there was deferred maintenance by category of damage.
- Q. But there's no comments that are any longer required about the basis for the

inspector's judgment as to deferred
maintenance?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of the question. You're assuming he said that before there was, and that's not his testimony. You're saying there's no longer a requirement that they include comments about why they determine something was deferred maintenance or not, and I'm not sure he testified that there ever was such a requirement. He's more talking about where on the form they indicated their assessment of deferred maintenance.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Maybe we can do this the short way. There used to be a requirement that there was comments describing the basis for deferred maintenance. Right?
- A. Yes. If the inspector viewed deferred maintenance or preexisting conditions, they were to place it in comments.
 - Q. And their explanation as to why.

Right?

- A. When you say why, why they placed them in comments?
- Q. How they made this judgment. You know, did they go out to the roof and see some damage on the outside of the roof? They used to be required to give their basis for saying that something was a preexisting condition as opposed to being caused by the disaster.
- A. Yes. And the reason being is that an inspector is to go out and view all damages to the house. They are to make a determination as to whether or not damages were disaster related or not. What that did was that gave a complete picture of all damages, whether it was deferred maintenance, preexisting or caused by the disaster.

so that if an applicant called up and questioned that "They didn't address my basement," then the caseworker could go into the case file and say, "Yes, the inspector did and said that it was a preexisting condition."

What that did was it allowed FEMA to process all damages under the initial inspection as opposed to having to send out another inspector to verify what the applicant might not be stating that wasn't captured on the first inspection.

Q. But the prior manner of recording deferred maintenance placed in FEMA -- in NEMIS, excuse me -- comments that described the basis for a deferred maintenance decision. Correct?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of the question. It's unclear what you mean by basis for a deferred maintenance decision. And there's a lot of problems.

What's the decision? I mean are you saying that the inspectors are making a decision?

Are you saying that they're -- I mean it's just unclear from your question what you are asking.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. Inspectors do make a decision

- about what is deferred maintenance and what is
 not. Right?
 - A. They make a judgment call.
 - Q. And it is a judgment call.
 - A. It is a judgment call.
 - Q. It's pretty hard to be certain about whether it is or not. Right?
 - A. It's hard to make a 100 percent call. It is based upon the experience of the inspector and what he or she is viewing at the time.
 - Q. But they don't write down in NEMIS or in the ACE pen tablets the reason they made that decision. Right?
 - A. No.

- Q. And they used to do that but they don't anymore.
- A. I don't know if they would record the reason for it. I think what they would do is say, you know, "Roof, deferred maintenance." I don't know if they would give any more descriptor of what is currently in

the ACE software.

Q. So as I understand your testimony, all that was recorded in the comments in the past was the areas of deferred maintenance, what is now a separate screen, that was changed from the comment to now being a separate screen in ACE.

A. I wouldn't say all. I mean some

-- and the reason why I say that is because
right now on ACE there are categories of
components that could be marked as deferred
maintenance. I've read comments of inspectors
where they might write a paragraph explaining,
you know, where water may have come in, where
water was preexisting. It was elaborate, but
it would be, you know, a call of, you know,
"foundation, deferred maintenance."

So it does not capture all comments. However, the inspector can still put deferred maintenance comments for clarification in the comments field of the ACE software.

- Q. But FEMA does not require them to do so.
- A. FEMA does not require them to do
 4 so, correct.
 - Q. Now we're looking at Page 4993.

 And if you'd look at Page 8.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Under Q & A, Number 20.
- A. Yes.
- Q. It says that they were required in the past to explain their basis for their deferred maintenance judgment.
- A. I don't know what you mean by basis. I mean there is an area in the palm pad where you could put comments. Comments could come back that the roof equals deferred maintenance. There could be a lot more further explanation that if they could not, if they had to do more investigation to determine whether or not it was a preexisting condition, they may put more explanation in there. But it's not a basis. It's a clarification. It's

a summary of what they are describing for deferred maintenance.

Q. Okay. As I understand what you are trying to say -- and please correct me if I'm wrong -- is that the word "details" in the very first line in the answer to Question 20 on Page 4993, by that word "details," it could mean simply the area of deferred maintenance or it could mean the basis but it doesn't say which.

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Again, with -- yes.

It could mean either one in the sense that if the entire roof -- you know, it might be "entire roof equals deferred maintenance." I don't know if that requires any more detail.

But if there's sections of the roof that are deferred maintenance, I would have to explain that, you know, 50 square feet of roofing deferred maintenance, that would be more of a detail to clarify what I am stating as

deferred maintenance.

ability to clarify in comments, even though now we have a separate box that will generally characterize areas of deferred maintenance.

So it's different now, but the information isn't necessarily different. It's up to the inspector to make it perfectly clear what they are trying to state as deferred maintenance.

So it may take explanation. It may not take explanation.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. Now, you say one of the other ways
-- let's go back to this two shingles off the
roof example that we've been discussing. You
say one of the ways you can tell whether it
was preexisting is whether there is damage to
the inside underneath those two shingles.

Correct?

- A. Yes, if there was water infiltration coming in through that area.
 - Q. Well, if a hurricane blew through,

usually they have rain associated. Right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And so that rain would have ordinarily wet the area under the two shingles somehow. Right?
- A. If it was wind-driven rain, it could very easily, yes, as it could under other shingles if the wind is blowing and the rain is driving and it could penetrate other areas of the shingles that aren't blown off.
- Q. So help me understand how it is that the inside condition tells you anything about the preexisting state of the shingles on the roof. What is it going to tell you that allows you to tell whether it was preexisting?
- A. The condition, if there is water stains on the ceiling.
- Q. But couldn't those have been caused by the disaster if there's water stains?
 - A. It could have.
 - Q. How do you know which one?

A. But we get our inspectors out there very quickly. Chances are you'll be able to make that difference. I mean --

- Q. How is what I need to understand.
- A. Sheetrock does not dry out all that quickly. And is it pungy? Is it something that's new? Does it have brown stains that indicate that it's been there for a while? It's a judgment call by the inspector. Now, if there's evidence that there are concentric rings which means that, yeah, depending upon the different rains, you may have different areas of leakage, that's something that the inspector will have to make that call on.

If there's new damage where it's still wet, it's still pungy, it's still dripping, that would indicate that there is new damage but the damage inside -- in other words, the deferred maintenance or the shingles off the roof that were preexisting may have worsened the damage inside. And so

we're going to take care of the damage inside that home.

- Q. So what you are saying is that even if the roof was a deferred maintenance roof, as you say, that if the hurricane blew through and it caused additional damage to the inside, then FEMA will pay for the damage inside.
- A. It has to be made worsened by the event, yes. And it's a call by the inspector as to whether or not it was worsened by the event.
- Q. Well, it has to be significantly worsened by the event --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- for FEMA to pay for it. Right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Worsened is not enough. The standard says significantly worsened.
 - A. Significantly worsened.
 - Q. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes. That is what is written,

yes.

- Q. This is that same PowerPoint that we began discussing earlier today. I guess it begins at Page 5004. And right now we're looking at Page 5108.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now we're looking at Page 5109.
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. This says the opposite of what you just stated, what you just testified. Right?
- A. No. It's stating exactly what I testified. If we go back one page --
 - Q. Okay. We're looking at 5108?
- A. Yes. What we are saying here is that it's an old roof. Wind-driven rain caused leaks or leaked into the house and damage, but the ceiling shows signs of older water damage as well. The house sustained no other damage. So the call here would be that it's not a habitability issue and that there were signs of no other damage. So it's a call.

"The inspector judged that the preexisting damage to the roof was not worsened by the storm and, therefore, the ceiling damage was a direct result of deferred maintenance." So it was deferred maintenance, and that's what I was describing earlier. If there's evidence that there was existing condition, water spots and everything else, and it was not made worse by the event, then there would not be -- and it does not create a habitability issue, then they would not be assisted for the repair of that.

Q. But this is talking about, this whole hypothetical that we're talking about -- let's first take habitability off the table.

That's not what this PowerPoint is describing.

It's describing how a deferred maintenance call is made. Right?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

1 Q. This doesn't say anything about 2 habitability anywhere. Right? FEMA provides assistance due to 3 4 the habitability condition of the home. the whole premise of doing an inspection is to 5 6 determine the habitability of the home by 7 capturing the damage sustained by the event. Doesn't this say that the ceiling, 8 0. 9 doesn't it say on 5108 that the ceiling was 10 damaged by the disaster? But it was not made worsened. 11 Α. 12 0. Where does it say that? 13 On the next page. "Preexisting Α. 14 roof damage was not worsened by the storm." 15 The roof was not. Q. 16 Α. Right. 17 Q. But the ceiling was. It says here 18 on 5108, it says the ceiling was damaged by 19 the storm. 20 But to what degree? Was it simply 21 just, you know, filling in where the old 22 stains were? I mean if it's a result that was

FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(800) 956-8996

(202) 863-0000

not worsened, significantly worsened, then it wouldn't create a habitability issue. So the call here would be that there was -- and, again, I have to stick with habitability because FEMA only provides assistance when habitability has been affected. What this is saying is that the habitability of the home was not affected. And, therefore, what was happening is there may have been damage, but it was caused by the preexisting condition of deferred maintenance.

Q. So you're testifying that the deferred maintenance condition of the roof allowed the disaster to damage the ceiling and FEMA, therefore, will not pay for the ceiling.

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

additional water to come into the ceiling.

And due to the deferred maintenance of which there was no other damage, then they would not receive assistance, and it did not affect the

- 1 habitability of that home.
- BY MR. WESEVICH:
- Q. Due to the deferred maintenance of the roof --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- they would not receiveassistance for the ceiling.
 - A. Right, because the ceiling, again, it did not create a significant damage. So there is no additional damage that would substantiate a habitability repair item, because the roof had deferred maintenance, preexisting condition.
 - Q. How can you tell, how can the inspector tell if a roof is a poorly maintained 30-year-old roof?
 - A. They can usually tell by the look of the shingles, if it's cracked, if they've shrunken, if they've buckled, if they've cracked. It's something that would be observed by the inspector.
 - Q. I want a complete list that you

understand of what the inspector would observe. I hear cracked, buckled.

- A. You heard cracked, buckled, shrunken, curled, missing shingles. There's a whole lost of things that would indicate that a roof is older.
- Q. But missing shingles could just as easily be caused by the disaster as by the --
- A. Yes. And as described, they would look at whether or not additional damages occurred as those new shingles blew off, caused by the event that created other damage.
- Q. Now, this cracking and buckling or blistering of shingles, are those things that could be caused by a hurricane?
- A. You might. You get some cracking, possibly. You get some lift. But typically when an older shingle appears, it's kind of buckled around the edge. It's curled. You will get some blistering. But, typically, that is not a sign of new damage. It's a sign of an older shingle.

- Q. Now, an older shingle is more susceptible to cracking when it's lifted up than a newer shingle. Right?
- A. Typically, because a shingle becomes brittle over time, more brittle over time.
- Q. Right. But the lifting up of the shingle is something that the storm could do. Right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And so the cracking of the shingle could have been caused by the storm even though it was old.
 - A. Potentially, yes.
- Q. And FEMA would still call that a deferred maintenance roof because the shingle was brittle to start off with because it was old.
- A. I don't know that. If the shingles were worsened, if they were torn off, if they were lifted and cracked and pulled back that was evidence of new damage, then

FEMA would look at the replacement of shingles of that particular area if it created a habitability issue for the applicant. They wouldn't go in and replace the entire roof which may be a 30-year-old roof.

- Q. But you agree that this takes some real investigation to determine on these roofs what was the damage that was caused by the storm and what was the damage that was preexisting.
- A. I can speak for myself in terms of it's evident. I mean it's not -- a trained inspector can look at it and say, "That is an older roof" or "That is a newer roof." So I mean it depends on the type of damage that occurred as to how much investigation has to go on to determine the actual cause of damage or whether or not it was preexisting.
- Q. And I'm just asking you to go into detail about what kinds of things that you look for to determine whether it's preexisting or disaster-caused.

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object because it's been asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Again, I would look at whether or not there is buckling, cracking, lifting, blistering, curled edges, shrinkage of the shingle. That's what I would look at to estimate whether or not that is an older roof.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. All of these things that you just listed are easily documented by a photograph. Correct?
- A. Possibly. It depends. It depends on the angle of the photograph. It depends on the clarity of the photograph. It could depend on several things. If you get a very close-up picture of the roof, you could probably make that distinction.
- Q. But can you see it with your eye from far away then?
- A. How far away? If it's a single story house --

- Q. I mean if you can see it enough to make the judgment call as to deferred maintenance, then why can't you photograph it?
- A. As I say, I don't know the clarity of the camera they're using, how far away they are. Certainly if I was there and I can see it, I can make a call. If I make a picture of it and it's a dark roof, you're just going to see gray, you're just going to see dark.

 You're not going to see detail.
- Q. Does FEMA ever do anything to consult roofing experts about how inspectors ought to be trained to discern which roofs are to be categorized as deferred maintenance?
- A. I'm not aware of how Inspection Services reaches out to any of the industry experts. I don't know.
- Q. FEMA doesn't have an area of deferred maintenance for roofs. Correct?

 MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- 1 Q. I'm sorry. I wasn't specific 2 enough. On the ACE pen tablet it lists several areas of deferred maintenance. 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 And one of them, roofs is not Q. 6 among them. 7 I'm not sure. I'd have to verify Α. that. They're broken up into different 8 9 categories. 10 I'm looking at Page 5042. 0. 11 Α. Yes. This lists on the pen tablet 12 Q. 13 screen all the areas of deferred maintenance. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Are you able to tell me whether Q. 16 one of those is roof? 17 Α. I'm not quite sure. It's hidden 18
 - A. I'm not quite sure. It's hidden by the square as to whether or not there is any additional categories where it's broken up by windows and doors.

20

21

22

Q. So if you have a disaster where you're expecting a lot of roof damage claims,

does FEMA do anything special to train the inspectors regarding roofs?

- A. No. They are trained to, if they know that -- they're trained to go out and capture the disaster-related damage. If we know that in a particular disaster certain elements might be more evident, they would highlight that for the inspector, saying, "Pay more attention to foundations. Pay more attention to the type of structure." But there's nothing that would tell them to do things necessarily differently. It would bring to their attention areas of interest that they may want to emphasize more of.
- Q. Looking at Page 5131 under the heading of "General," that was filed as Document 78-10.
- A. Excuse me. You want me to take a look at the general?
- Q. The paragraph under the heading "General."
- A. Yes.

1 Q. Are you familiar with this 2 language? I'm familiar with the concept. 3 4 These were written by PaRR for the inspectors. 5 This is actually a FEMA document. Q. 6 Right? A FEMA-written document. 7 I'm sure it was done in Α. conjunction with FEMA. I don't know. This is 8 9 for the PaRR inspectors. 10 I think you've turned ahead. If 0. 11 you look at Page 1 of that document, it's a 12 FEMA-written document by the NPSC. 13 I apologize. Α. Oh. 14 0. You need to turn two more pages --15 this way. 16 Α. Sorry about that. 17 Q. That's a FEMA-published document. 18 Correct? 19 These are inspection guidelines Α. 20 that are specific for the disaster which was based upon the inspector's manual. So this is 21 22 the specific document for that disaster on FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000

(800) 956-8996

providing instruction to the inspectors, yes.

- Q. And how did this language, how was this language developed under "General"?

 Based on what was it developed and by who?
- A. It's developed at the NPSC through Inspection Services. I don't know who the actual author is. It's probably done in conjunction with several individuals, including inspection supervisors, inspection program. But it's just the general instruction to inspectors for this particular event.
- Q. But this document is approved by Headquarters, the template for this document. Right?
- A. It's approved by FEMA, yes. I couldn't say it's approved by Headquarters. Headquarters participates in the Inspection Services calls that take place for the event. And so we're familiar with the document as it is laid out for the inspectors for that event.
 - Q. Let's look at Page 5395. And this

is the same kind of template of the document that you're looking at that has the language under the heading "General" which was filed as document 78-10. This Page 5395 is the same document that you looked at as 78-10. It's just that this is a template that has been subject to a management review on the bottom left-hand corner, and it has a date 08/31/11.

A. Yes.

- Q. What does that mean?
- A. That would mean that this is produced at the Virginia National Processing Services Center, and the management review would have been through the Inspection Services staff who manage the Inspection Services. This is the template document for the inspectors that is filled out for any particular event declared of which the inspections would be issued to the contract inspectors.

MS. WELLS: May I just clarify. Was this Exhibit A, again?

1 MR. WESEVICH: That's Exhibit B. 2 MS. WELLS: Exhibit B, okay. 3 Thank you. 4 BY MR. WESEVICH: 5 Q. This document you keep at the 6 ready at FEMA in case there's a disaster. 7 Then you fill in all the blanks for this document. Correct? 8 9 With Inspection Services at the 10 NPSC, yes. 11 0. Getting back to this language in 12 "General" that we were discussing on Page 13 5131, you write, "It's not uncommon for new 14 leaks to occur through older deferred 15 maintenance roofs, to be blown up under 16 shingles, through vents, et cetera." 17 Α. Yes. 18 But new leaks would be caused by Q. 19 the disaster. Correct? 20 It could be. But if the new leaks Α. 21 were because the roof was deferred, it may not 22 affect the habitability of the home. And I FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000

(800) 956-8996

- can't talk damage without habitability because

 FEMA only awards assistance based on the

 habitability of the home.
 - Q. Right. So to get repair assistance, you have to meet these elements. Number 1, it has to, the home has to be an owner-occupied primary residence.
 - A. (Nodding.)
 - Q. Number 2, the damages have to be disaster related.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Number 3, the repairs must be necessary to make the home safe, sanitary and functional.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. If you meet all of those criteria, you get disaster assistance. Correct? You get repair assistance. Correct?
- A. That's the basic criteria, that, yes, you have to pass what they refer to as IDV, identification verification. It has to be a primary residence and you have to

- demonstrate occupancy. You have to have sustained disaster-related damage. And then you also have to, the third one was they have to have sustained the damage.
 - Q. Safe, sanitary and functional.
- A. Safe, sanitary and functional, which is a -- yes.
 - Q. So is it your testimony that habitability is a fourth criteria that you have to meet in every case?
 - A. No.

- Q. Because if repairs are necessary to make the home safe, sanitary and functional, then habitability repair is required.
- A. Yes. Habitability. Safe, sanitary and functional is a characteristic of habitability. It's part of the definition of "habitability."
- Q. Right. Habitability is defined as three criteria. Either public utilities are out, the home is inaccessible or repairs are

necessary to make the home safe, sanitary and functional.

- A. Correct.
- Q. Getting back to this example on "General" --
- A. Yes.

- Q. -- if there are new leaks,
 necessarily the disaster-related element that
 we just discussed of the repair eligibility
 criteria, that's met if there's a new leak
 that causes damage inside the house.
- A. Not if it's habitable. What this is saying is do not record the roof covering replace, which is your entire roof, put new shingles on the entire roof, if there is deferred maintenance, because it's not uncommon for new leaks to occur through older deferred maintenance roofs, to be blown up under shingles through vents. If there's damage to that roof, in other words, shingles were blown off of that roof and you had leaks as a result of those shingles being blown off,

then FEMA would repair the section of blown-off roof, not replace roof covering.

So it's a matter of, again, what this is saying is that just because you may have new areas in the room of water damage but there's no evidence that the roof was further damaged or shingles blew off, then you wouldn't replace that entire roof.

- Q. So I understood you to say earlier that a lot of inspectors out there are naturally inclined to favor the applicants.
 - A. Yes.

Q. So if an inspector goes out to a roof and says, "I don't know whether this is preexisting, you know, or whether the disaster messed up this roof. I just don't know. I think it's more likely than not that the disaster did it," does FEMA approve the inspector's not recording deferred maintenance and going ahead and recording the roof cover replace?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to

the form of the question.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: If there is evidence that the roof was damaged, in other words, if there is evidence that the roof is old and there's no damages caused by the disaster, then the inspector would mark that as ineligible because it's deferred maintenance, there is no evidence of new damage to that roof. So that's why it becomes the judgment call. Now, again, if there's damage to the roof on an older roof, the inspector would mark that damage as new damage, and they could become eligible for assistance.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. My question is only about the judgment call. Inspector drives up, sees cracks on the shingles but says, "Those cracks are because the wind of this hurricane, you know, blew those shingles up and it cracked. The wind from the hurricane cracked them. And it's my judgment as an inspector that more

likely than not, I don't know for certain one way or the other whether those cracks existed before or whether the disaster was what made those cracks in the roof, but my guess is more likely than not that the disaster caused it."

Does FEMA allow that inspector to go ahead and record that roof recover, replace as real property damage, as real property line items to be compensated?

MS. WELLS: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: If the inspector determines that the new damage to the roof, the cracks to the shingles, the uplift of the shingles was caused by the event, then the inspector would record that as new damage. So I mean --

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. Well, you said was caused, if the inspector concludes that it was caused. My hypothetical is the inspector doesn't know. The inspector thinks more likely than not it was caused by the disaster.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α. I don't know what the difference If you are saying that the judgment call is. of the inspector is that he goes out and says, "This looks like new damage to me. I'm going to record it as new damage" versus, you know, if there's no damage, no additional damage to the roof, if water came in on that one section but the rest of the roof, again, had no damage and there's no cracking or the entire roof is cracked, then, again, it would be is that a call on the inspector to determine is that preexisting condition. Now, again, if the inspector goes out and doesn't know and says, "That looks like new damage to me," he would mark it as new damage.

- Q. And FEMA would allow that to be paid, that new damage that you just described.
- A. If it affected the habitability of the home.
- Q. Even if the inspector was not sure that it was new damage, if the inspector just thought more likely than not.

- A. My response to that would be FEMA wouldn't know at the time what the inspector thought or didn't think. The inspector recorded it as new damage and FEMA is going to process based upon the inspector's report that it's new damage.
- Q. Are all old roofs deferred maintenance roofs?
- A. No. I couldn't say that 100 percent certain.
- Q. So if a roof has a 30-year guarantee, FEMA would agree that it's possible for the roof to still be fully functional as it approaches that 30 years?

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Prior to the disaster.
- A. Potentially. If it's serving as a roof, yes. In other words, it's still in good condition and there's been no adverse impact to that roof that would otherwise indicate

that it can't stand up to the weather.

- Q. FEMA is not going to know one way or another simply by knowing the age of the roof is my question.
- A. It would be the condition of the roof.
- Q. You would have to look at something in addition to the age of the roof to judge it a deferred maintenance roof.
- A. Yes, yes. For clarity, you could have a fairly new roof on a very inexpensive shingle that within several years it could be, based upon the location, the temperature, the moisture and everything else, have deteriorated. So shingles come in various grades on how long they're expected to last under normal conditions. Conditions can vary which would impact the condition of the roof.
- Q. And the installation could also impact the condition of the roof as well.

 Correct?
 - A. That is correct.

1 Q. Shingles are nailed in. Right? 2 Typically, yes. Α. And if the pressure of the nail 3 Q. 4 that goes in, that drives the shingle in is 5 set too high, that nail will drive through the 6 shingle and compromise its structural 7 integrity, correct? Typically, it could do that, yes. 8 Α. 9 But FEMA doesn't ever go up on Q. 10 roofs. 11 Α. No. 12 So it would have no -- FEMA Q. 13 inspectors never go up on roofs. 14 Α. Correct. 15 There's a categorical prohibition Q. 16 for FEMA inspectors to go up on roofs. 17 Α. Correct. 18 So they would have no idea about Q. 19 whether a roof's structural integrity had been 20 compromised by the way that the nails were 21 installed in the roof. 22 They would not look at it in Α. No. FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000

(800) 956-8996

that respect. They would look at it in terms of the damage that was caused by the event.

We wouldn't get into whether or not that roof was put on or applied according to the manufacturer's specifications.

- Q. And it's not only the pressure of the nail that I just described that could compromise the integrity. It's also the placement of the nails and the shingles.
 - A. It could, yes.

- Q. That could compromise the efficacy of that roof.
 - A. It could, yes.
- Q. But FEMA has no way to distinguish whether the roof was installed correctly. It has no way to tell.
- A. It has no way to tell. There's no guidance as to what to tell the inspectors to go out and determine whether or not it was applied correctly.
- Q. So you have no idea when you make a deferred maintenance decision about whether

- the roof had been installed correctly in the
 first place.
 - A. No. It's based upon the condition at the time the inspector is out there.
 - Q. To be clear --

- A. And a judgment call.
- Q. -- it's only based on a ground level eyeball of what the roof looks like.
- A. That would be what the inspector would look at, yes, yes. Now, if I were an inspector, I would also ask the question how old is the roof. But it doesn't necessarily render a decision one way or another. What it does is it puts some perspective as to how old that roof is based upon the condition of what the inspector is viewing.
- Q. But the inspectors do not record what they understand the age of the roof to be.
- A. No, they don't. They're not required to.
 - Q. And they're not recording the type

- of roof it is, whether it's a tile roof or a shingle roof, what have you.
- A. I don't know if that is a current
 requirement. Typically, when I was inspecting
 -- I did a lot of inspections in Florida,
 which are tile roofs. Some were asphalt
 roofs. And I would put in comments, "It's a
 clay tile roof."
 - Q. Right. You can put anything you want. You can put anything you want.
 - A. Absolutely. There is no requirement, to my knowledge.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And there's no place to record the type of roof.
 - A. Not specific, no.
- Q. But there is a place to record the type of foundation of the house.
- A. Yes, because foundations will vary according to structure and everything else, yes. However, in the line items, I believe there are several different categories of roof covering, whether or not it's asphalt, clay

tile, slate. There is line items that I believe get into more detail that if the inspector views damage to that roof that was disaster related, he would pick the line item to best describe what that roof composition is and award however much damage he observed, he or she observed.

Q. But if the judgment was deferred maintenance, the only thing that the ACE pen tablet allows to be recorded is a check on the word "general." There is no check on the word "roof." There is no description of the type of roof. There is no description of the age of the roof. There is no description of the reason for the deferred maintenance judgment. Correct? All that's true, right?

THE WITNESS: As I say, I don't know. I thought I read somewhere in the inspection guidelines that roof was identified as an area of deferred maintenance. However, I did not see it in that box, but I don't

MS. WELLS: Object to the form.

know. It was blocked by another block to determine whether or not there was additional categories underneath as you scrolled down in that box.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Well, FEMA has paper forms that are used by inspectors in case the pen tablets stop functioning. Correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And you produced those right here.

 And I'm looking at Pages 589 through 96. And
 this would list out all the categories that
 are listed in deferred maintenance here on
 Page 591. Right?
- A. Yes. I would assume that that is--
- Q. Right here in the areas of deferred maintenance, this list on Page 591.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So this document, 591, indicates that there's not even a category for roof for deferred maintenance.

1 A. Yes.2 Q. That's correct, right?

- A. It does indicate that, yes.
- Q. So as I understand your testimony, if disaster-related damages are found in the judgment of the inspector, then the roof type might be recorded. But if in the inspector's judgment the roof was in poor condition prior to the disaster, then FEMA does not know what type of roof it is, does not even know that the roof was found to be deferred maintenance, does not know the reason why the inspector said the roof was deferred maintenance, knows nothing about it at all. Correct?
- A. According to this. There is no indication on the roof type or anything else or an indication of area deferred maintenance for roof.

MR. WESEVICH: I think this might be a good time to take a break for lunch.

(Whereupon, at 12:28 p.m., the deposition was recessed, to reconvene at 1:15

1 p.m., the same day.) 2 AFTERNOON SESSION 1:24 p.m. 3 BY MR. WESEVICH: Mr. Carleton, does FEMA spend less 4 Q. 5 repair money if it tells inspectors, "Write 6 deferred maintenance unless you're sure that 7 it's not" as compared to if they tell inspectors, "You can find deferred maintenance 8 9 on a more likely than not standard?" 10 MS. WELLS: Object to the form of 11 the question. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I 12 13 understand your question, because the 14 instructions to the inspectors are to go out 15 and record disaster-related damage as well as 16 identify other damage that exists. So it's 17 not -- FEMA doesn't give instructions to 18 inspectors on how they would determine 19 eligibility. An inspector's job is to go out 20 and record what they see.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

21

22

Q. But the inspectors are told this

on Page 104, right? This is what they're told, right? Under that heading "Deferred Maintenance," that is what the inspectors are told, right?

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question. Told what? Told what he said or told what you said?

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Are the inspectors told what is written under the heading "Deferred Maintenance"?
- A. That is part of their training.

 So I am sure it is covered as well as it is in the inspector manual. But that doesn't have anything to do with eligibility for assistance. That is how to record damage that they see at the time that could be referred to as deferred maintenance.
- Q. And the way that they record the damage that they see at the time is used to decide eligibility. Correct?
 - A. They don't make that decision.

- Q. Right. But the way that they record that -- the eligibility determination is based on the information that they do record.
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. And this tells them how to record the information. Correct?
- A. This tells them what the examples of what deferred maintenance is and what categorizes deferred maintenance, yes.
- Q. This tells them whether to check one of the boxes on the ACE 3 pen tablet under "Deferred Maintenance" or whether to record damages under line items. Correct?
- A. No, this does not say that. What they are instructed to do is to go out and record damages. And their focus is to record damages that are caused by the event. If they are to capture the full inspection of that property, they are to record other damage as they see that is not caused by the event which can refer to as preexisting condition or

deferred maintenance. But they are not told to actually go out and look for the deferred maintenance. If it exists, they will record it. If it doesn't exist and they only have disaster-related damage, they would record that.

- Q. So that the record is clear, when you said the word "event" during this entire deposition you've meant the disaster. Right?
 - A. The disaster declaration, yes.
- Q. It's a common Agency usage, right, that you call the disaster the event? Right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. But this paragraph that we've been talking about on Page 104, it talks about how to record deferred maintenance. Correct?
- A. It does say when to record it and gives some examples of what that is referring to.
- Q. That's the only question. It does say how to record deferred maintenance, correct? And it also says --

MS. WELLS: Objection. That is not what he testified. That mischaracterizes his testimony.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. Okay. Does this tell you how to

- Q. Okay. Does this tell you how to record deferred maintenance?
- A. How to? It describes what it is and it describes when to categorize certain examples that are mentioned here as deferred maintenance. So it doesn't tell them. It just gives examples of how to do it when they come across it.
- Q. When you just testified that it describes what it is, the word "it" is deferred maintenance, it describes what deferred maintenance is.
- A. In some examples that are provided in that paragraph, yes, which is not inclusive of everything.
- Q. Okay. And where it says, "The listing of deferred maintenance items worsened by the storm should never be speculative," do

you see that, the very last sentence?

A. I do see that.

- Q. When you say the listing, do you mean the listing on the real property line items?
- A. I believe what they are referring to is the listing of the deferred maintenance as it appears in the box on the ACE 3 software, the general, the categories of it. So in other words, you should never guess. You have to try to verify that was the damage caused by the event and if it wasn't, was it a result of preexisting condition or deferred maintenance.
- Q. But this last sentence, it's talking about items that were worsened by the disaster. Correct? It's not talking about deferred maintenance.
- A. Right. So if it's worsened by the event, then they could be eligible for the assistance if it affected the habitability of the home. So in other words, you wouldn't see

deferred maintenance and yet record damages for the same item. It's either eligible or not eligible based upon the habitability of the home.

- Q. Does FEMA authorize inspectors to list items under the real property line items in the ACE 3 tablet if they were more likely than not disaster related?
 - A. Could you clarify?
- Q. If the inspector believes, if the inspector is not certain as to whether something was preexisting, a damage item is preexisting, does FEMA authorize them to list that item on the real property line items?
- A. As potential eligible items for repair?
- Q. Yes.

- A. Is that what you are referring to?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Yes. If it is the opinion of the inspector that that item was damaged as a direct result or made worsened by the

disaster.

- Q. Made significantly worsened by.
- A. Yes.
 - Q. When are the inspectors told that?
 - A. It is part of their training. It is part of their background. In other words, the inspector is to go out and look at, in their judgment of what was disaster-related damage or not disaster-related damage. We've seen through much of this manual in terms of what is considered deferred maintenance or what would be considered eligible line items. What determines whether or not FEMA is going to award will be based upon the overall habitability of the call for the home, because you may suffer damage in a home but your home is still habitable and FEMA would not award on that assistance.

If I could clarify. If an inspector identifies line items that in his opinion were damaged by the event, he's going to record that. And if the overall

habitability of the home is no, FEMA would pay that out and not know what went on in the mind of the inspector. The inspector only recorded that damage as he or she saw fit and identified it as being disaster related or significantly made worsened by the event, therefore, could be eligible for assistance when FEMA makes that determination.

Q. So as I understand your testimony, FEMA does authorize inspectors to list real property items as eligible for repair payment if the inspector is unsure about whether the disaster caused the damage or whether the damage was preexisting.

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: FEMA is not going to know what goes on in the mind of the inspector when the inspector is making that call. FEMA is going to go by what is recorded by the inspector as being disaster-related damage or not.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

that FEMA has developed does not record this information. My question is what does FEMA authorize the inspectors to do? Are the inspectors allowed by FEMA to record an item in the real property line items as eligible for repair assistance if the inspector is unsure as to whether that item is preexisting or was made significantly worse by the disaster?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form, again.

THE WITNESS: It's not a determination of does FEMA authorize it. FEMA is not going to know. The inspector is making a call and the inspector has already made the call that in his or her opinion that damage was caused by the event and is going to mark it as such. And, therefore, FEMA would award if the overall habitability call is no. So in other words, the line item doesn't say, "Is

this your best guess or not?" It's basically this is what they saw as damage. And if the home is uninhabitable, FEMA would award based upon what that inspector saw.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Does FEMA tell the inspectors
 anything else other than what's recorded on
 Page 104 under the heading "Deferred
 Maintenance," does FEMA tell the inspectors
 anything else about how to record damage that
 they see?
- A. Not that I'm aware of. If this comes from the inspector's manual, I know that there is additional information in the training material that goes into different examples of this. But there is no other written guidance that I'm aware of that would tell inspectors what to do in recording disaster-related damage.
- Q. And does FEMA expect inspectors to apply that standard that's written there under the heading "Deferred Maintenance" on Page

1 104? 2 MS. WELI

MS. WELLS: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: They would expect inspectors who have received the training to be able to go out and understand what would be disaster-related damage and what would be preexisting or deferred maintenance.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Now, you had mentioned that a lot of the inspectors have a construction background. Is that correct?
 - A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q. How many of them? What percentage?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Is any type of construction background a requirement for becoming a FEMA inspector?
- A. Not that I'm aware of. But they do look for people that have a background in construction or, you know, knowledge of construction.

1 Q. How? 2 Pardon me? Α. How? When you say "they," you're 3 0. 4 talking about PaRR and Parsons Bickerstaff, the two contractors. So it's PaRR and PB? 5 6 PB, Parsons Brinckerhoff. Α. 7 Oh, I'm sorry. So those are the Ο. people who actually hire the individual 8 inspectors who go out to houses. Correct? 9 10 Yes, they do. Α. 11 Ο. And how do you know that that's what they look for? 12 13 I don't know. I do know that when Α. 14 I was an inspector, they were looking for 15 people that had construction background. I do 16 know that they look at the experience of the 17 people that they are hiring, but it's up to 18 the inspection contractors to hire those 19 inspectors. 20 But you have no idea about what 0. 21 qualifications they require of the applicants? 22 I do not know what standards Α.

they apply.

- Q. Are the inspectors required to be licensed contractors?
 - A. No, not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Because that's a pretty high standard. Right?
- A. That is high standard, and it also varies from state to state.
- Q. If an inspector determines that a damaged part of a home is to be classified as deferred maintenance, the only thing that's recorded is a check box in that areas of deferred maintenance. Is that correct?
- A. That would be required, and then further explanation can be provided in comments.
- Q. But there's not a method other than comments for the inspector to say what the reason for the deferred maintenance decision was.
- A. No. The reason being is that the inspector is there to record the damage that

was the direct result of the disaster. If
there is additional damage there to complete
the inspection, he or she would record other
damage that they viewed and determine whether
or not it was a result of the disaster. So
they're not specifically going out looking for
deferred maintenance or preexisting condition.
Their job is to go out and look for
disaster-related damage. And if other damage
exists, then they have to apply whether or not
based on their judgment it was caused or made
worsened by the disaster.

- Q. Who decides appeals? Describe for me how appeals are decided when an applicant is dissatisfied with FEMA's repair decision.
- A. The applicant writes an appeal to FEMA and it goes to an appeals group, an appeals group, I believe, made up of your managers, supervisors and caseworkers. And that appeal is reviewed. And it's all manual at that point. And when I say manual, the case is reviewed, all the notes are reviewed,

the inspection is reviewed and the determination is reviewed, and that is done manually.

And then a decision is rendered, sent up to the -- I don't know if they're referred to as team lead or supervisor, who then approves and then the appeal is either overturned or granted. So it's a separate group that handles appeals.

- Q. Now, when you say that appeals are manually determined as opposed to auto-determined by the computer, the initial decisions, some of them are manually determined and then some of them are auto-determined by NEMIS. Correct?
- A. The majority of initial decisions are based upon auto-determination. I don't know what the current rate of approval is on auto-determinations. I do know that it is in the mid-90 percentile of cases that are auto-determined. Only in cases that get hung up in the computer where something wasn't

correct or got hung up or jammed in the computer would that case be reviewed manually to be processed.

- Q. As I understand, what you say is NEMIS is set up to issue an auto-determination in all cases, but some of them get hung up among the various business rules in NEMIS and those cases are manual-determined.
- A. Yes. In other words, they would go into a special handling queue. If something were to be looked at that didn't fit the current business rule, it would go into another queue to be manually reviewed and processed. It would either get hung up or there was missing information or something that was different in that system.

So we would also sometimes run a special handling queue if something else were to change during the disaster and we needed to go into the system, manipulate the system.

Then that would maybe go into a different special handling queue for those cases if a

certain question was answered away so that it would be manually reviewed. But NEMIS is set up to auto-determine as much information as possible.

- Q. And there's a flag that the inspector can check to request manual determination even on the initial decision.

 Is that correct?
- A. That's correct. And I believe it goes back to the contractor's host. In other words, that's before it enters into NEMIS.

 The inspector can flag for someone in the Inspection Services to take a look at it before it gets entered back into NEMIS.
- Q. When you talk about Inspection Services, are you talking about somebody at PaRR to look at it or are you talking about somebody in FEMA to look at?
 - A. Someone in PaRR.
- Q. So when the inspector checks a manual flag, that inspector is telling PaRR that before this inspection is sent to FEMA,

somebody in PaRR management should look at this inspection.

A. Typically, yes.

- Q. Are there rules for when that manual checked flag should be used?
- A. It would depend on the circumstances of the disaster. In other words, in some large events a new decision might come down or we're not going to process a certain, a particular instance. We may ask the inspector to flag for review. If the other situation might be that the inspector just wants another set of eyes to take a look at the information being gathered before it's sent in, the inspector could flag that for supervisory review.
- Q. Was anything ever published saying that inspectors in Disaster 1780 were supposed to flag certain cases for PaRR review?
- A. Not that I'm familiar with. I've read the Inspection Services guidelines for that particular disaster, but I do not recall

- anything being in there on specifically indicating when a case should be reviewed, manually flagged.
 - Q. And the Inspection Services guidelines that you are referring to, is that Page 5124?
 - A. Yes, that is.

- Q. That's the document. And if there were specific instructions to flag certain items for review, they would appear in this document?
- A. Not necessarily. If there is an overarching decision that they're waiting for Headquarters to make and we're saying, you know, "Hold these before so that we can, you know, render a policy or a decision or something to that disaster," they may ask to flag them. But typically it doesn't show up in this particular document. It may be a verbal to the inspectors or a memo to the inspectors, you know, "Headquarters is looking at this particular issue. Before sending on

1 to NEMIS, you know, flag for host review."
2 And it could be for any number of reasons.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And there could be addenda to this document. Correct?
- A. There could be addenda to this document, yes.
- Q. And in most disasters there do end up being addenda to these documents.
 - A. Yes, there are.
- Q. And where are the addenda to this document for Disaster 1780?
 - A. I'm not sure if any were issued.
- Q. Do you know definitively yes or no whether addenda were issued in this disaster?
 - A. I do not know definitively.
 - Q. How would you find out?
- A. I would have to call out to the Inspection Services group at the Virginia NPSC to determine if any additional addenda were provided.
- Q. Did anybody do that prior to this deposition?

1 A. I did not.

- Q. Do you know if anybody did?
- A. I do not know.
 - Q. In Interrogatory 11, in your response to Interrogatory 11 you list several people who helped you prepare documents for this. Do you know whether any of those people -- well, here, let me show you the answer. You can tell me whether any of these people did. I'm looking at Page 5335. And you'd indicated that Cindy Atkins, David Ritchie, Chip Pinckney and Todd Milliron helped you gather documents that were responsive to our document requests.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know if any of them tried to locate all the addenda?
 - A. Yes. I'm sure of it because of the fact that you have some Inspection Services supervisor and task monitors associated with it. And if the request was made for all documentation, they would have

but.

0.

pulled every documentation available regarding this disaster. Cindy Atkins is also a program specialist at the NPSC unassociated with the Inspection Services group and she, too, would have also made sure that all documentation was gathered.

- Q. Verbal instructions are given to inspectors pretty much daily. Right?
- A. It's given to them when information needs to be exchanged with them. Sometimes it doesn't happen daily. Sometimes it happens frequently if there is a circumstance within that disaster that needs attention or correction or something of that nature.
- those daily transmissions to inspectors?

 MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to
 the form. Verbal communications and
 transmissions aren't necessarily the same,

THE WITNESS: And I was going to

And where are those recorded,

say that would have been communicated through the Inspection Services. I don't know if anything would have been issued in memo or not. I don't know that.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. So going back to the appeals group, how many people were in the appeals group for Disaster 1780?
- A. That I don't know. I would have to find out.
 - Q. It wouldn't be 1,000 people?
 - A. No.

- Q. Would it be 100 people?
- A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
- Q. Roughly, how many, would you say?
- A. I would say depending upon the other activity and if it's going to be specific to 1780, 20, less than 20. I'm not exactly sure.
- Q. And do these people have other jobs or were they just appeals officers?
 - A. They may have other jobs but if

appeals come in, I mean they are -- there's a lot of movement within the NPSC depending upon the workload. So there are dedicated people that do appeals when appeals come in. But they may in slower times have other positions that they can work on.

- Q. You mentioned a team leader for the appeals.
- A. I wasn't sure of how they categorize what their job titles are anymore, but there's usually a supervisor or a team lead overseeing a group of people doing appeals.
- Q. Do you know who that person was for Disaster 1780?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. How would you find out?
- A. I would have to call out and find out who it was for that disaster, who it was at the time. There has been turnover in the NPSC since 2008, so I'd have to find out who it was.

Q. Describe for me what happens when someone sends in a letter saying, "The disaster damaged my home but I didn't get repair assistance." How does the appeals process work in that?

A. Well, in the initial letter, the award letter that goes out, it would indicate what they were eligible to receive in assistance. And that letter describes if you disagree with FEMA's decision, here's what you need to do. And it provides some information that they would need to provide back to FEMA in an appeal for FEMA to look at their case and review what they're looking for.

Typically, if they did not receive funds for damages that they claimed, they would also ask for inspectors, an estimate from a licensed contractor and indication that it was caused by the event, and then they would look at that information, that additional supplemental information and look at the case, look at the notes, look at the

inspector's report, and determine whether or not something was either overlooked or it was addressed in the initial report.

And if, in fact, there's a discrepancy in what the individual is claiming versus what was in the inspection report, more often than not the Inspection Services would issue the inspection to another inspector to go out and complete a new inspection. That inspection will come in and would be compared to the first inspection. And if there is discrepancy, they could award additional funds based upon the new inspection.

- Q. But what happens if the applicant writes in, "The disaster damaged my roof," but that the "General" check box is checked for deferred maintenance? What happens then?
- A. General. It depends. If the individual writes in saying that "I have roof damage," but in the inspection notes it would have "General," and then if they looked in comments, it says "Roof was deferred

maintenance," then the individual would not, that appeal would not be overturned. The initial decision would not be overturned.

If the individual submitted documentation from a contractor indicating that there is roof damage and it was caused by the event, then another inspector would have been sent out to determine and try to verify that again. Now, again, since an inspector does not get up on the roof, they would look for any residual damage as a result of that roof being damaged. And that's when I described earlier which would be the inspector would be looking inside the house for any damage that may have occurred based upon the condition of that roof.

- Q. Now, does FEMA require an estimate from a licensed contractor?
- A. It says from a licensed contractor. I believe it does say licensed. It can also require an estimate or an opinion from a local official. In other words, local

"Yes, it blew through here and, yes, there is sufficient damage to this house. It's been tagged. A person can't be living here until repairs are made." Then that would implement or suggest a new inspection if something was overlooked in the initial inspection.

- Q. Right, but official inspections are not available to all disaster survivors.

 Right? I mean many local governments just don't have that capacity. Correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. So in that case the only way that an applicant could challenge a deferred maintenance finding by a FEMA inspector is to hire a local contractor.
- A. Potentially, but they wouldn't have -- the call would have been insufficient damage, not a call of deferred maintenance, because the code, the eligibility code would be insufficient damage because the inspector did not find habitability damages that would

render that person eligible. So the call would be insufficient damage from FEMA, and it could include minor damage caused by the event as well as damage that was preexisting or by deferred maintenance.

- Q. Right. The letter that is sent to applicants when a deferred maintenance finding has been made by an inspector, that letter never mentions deferred maintenance.
 - A. No, it doesn't.

- Q. All it says is, as you described, insufficient damage which could mean, as I understand, one of three things. It could mean that the damage was not substantial enough, that it didn't meet the \$50 rule or it could mean deferred maintenance or it could mean that even though the disaster caused damages, they didn't affect the safe, sanitary and functional capacity of the house. Right?
 - A. You're leaving out habitability.
- Q. But that's safe, sanitary and functional.

- A. But there's an overall habitability call which takes into account the damages that was viewed which would include those categories that you just described, either minor damage, deferred maintenance or -- minor damage would be defined as it didn't meet the threshold of \$50 and it did not affect the habitability of the home as well as the deferred maintenance as well as the safe, sanitary and functional criteria. So those are reflective in an overall habitability determination as to whether or not FEMA would grant that award.
- Q. So the letter that's sent to the applicants, it doesn't distinguish among any of those. Correct?
- A. No. It does distinguish and it does mention that it does not affect the habitability of your home.
- Q. But by reading -- well, let's look at the letter. Let's look at Page 2956.
 - A. Yes.

1 Q. This is the letter that we've been 2 discussing. Correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And it doesn't tell the applicant 0. 5 whether deferred maintenance affected their 6 eligibility. 7 No, it does not. Α. It does not tell the applicant 8 0. 9 whether a finding of deferred maintenance was 10 made. Α. 11 That is correct. 12 It doesn't suggest anything about Q. 13 deferred maintenance to the applicant. 14 Α. No. 15 MS. WELLS: Objection; asked and 16 answered. 17 THE WITNESS: No, it does not 18 because this has to do with eligibility 19 determination not necessarily focusing in on 20 the elements that were preexisting. In other 21 words, ineligible, insufficient damage is a 22 call being made based upon disaster-related

FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(800) 956-8996

(202) 863-0000

damages that were not found at this home.

There may have been other damages but this is based upon the regulation of which FEMA would only provide assistance to individuals who suffered disaster-related damages. Based upon the inspection, the inspector did not find any disaster-related damages that would render the home unsafe.

- Q. But the letter does not inform applicants that in an inspector's judgment the damage that was suffered by the house was preexisting.
- A. No, because that is not what FEMA pays on and that is not the intent of the program. The program is to pay on elements that were damaged as a direct result of the disaster.
- Q. Right. But the inspectors do make a judgment about whether damages are preexisting and the letter does not inform the applicants anything about what judgment was made by the inspector in the case.

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

is to go out and determine what is disaster related and what is not. So an inspector is instructed to go out and make sure that they look at the entire structure and record what they see and make a determination and judgment as to whether or not it was disaster related, preexisting or deferred maintenance.

Our letters are based upon our eligibility criteria. In order to be eligible, it has to be disaster-related damage. So we have codes in here that are specific to disaster-related damage. And what this is saying, there is insufficient damage, meaning that there is insufficient damage caused by the event that rendered you ineligible.

Q. But why wouldn't FEMA include a notice to the applicants saying, you know, "In the inspector's judgment whatever damages

happened to your house, they preexisted"? Why wouldn't FEMA tell applicants that much?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I also don't think we're required to. What we are required to do is provide information as it was directly resulted from the event, from the disaster. So FEMA is to go out and record and make an eligibility determination based upon what was a direct result of the disaster. We would have to develop all new sets of criteria, sets of letters informing them of everything that the inspector saw that wasn't disaster related; whereas, our focus is to provide assistance on damages that were caused by the disaster. So we have all these inserts written based upon our eligibility determination not on our ineligibility for other reason type information.

I don't know if I'm making myself clear, but through the law and through the

regulations, that sets forth on what we can pay and what we can determine as habitable eligible items. So our letters are written based upon what our regulations call for which is is it disaster-related damage. IID, as mentioned, is insufficient damage because the inspector did not find damage that affected the habitability of which they could be eligible to receive assistance for.

- Q. You read the sworn declarations of the Plaintiffs in this case that were submitted in the case, right, where they swear that they were sitting in their house when the storm blew through and caused damage to their homes?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Right? And they provided pictures?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I mean aren't there disaster survivors all over the country that are in the same boat and wondering, "Why is it that I saw

the damages caused by the storm, why is it
that FEMA is not paying me?" Don't you think
that there are people all around like the
disaster survivors that really want to know,
"Why is it that I'm getting repair money or
I'm not getting repair money"?

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It's also very clear in the information that is sent to the applicant after they register for FEMA assistance in terms of what FEMA would consider eligible damage. And that's in the Help After the Disaster Guide. And it talks about what's eligible, what isn't eligible. And it makes reference throughout the document that it has to be disaster-related damage. And that is what the inspector's purpose is is to determine what is disaster related and what is not disaster related.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. And FEMA's position is that the

explanation for the decision that is provided in that letter is sufficient to inform people of the basis for FEMA's actions.

A. I think it's sufficient to indicate that there was insufficient damage by the event to indicate that they were not eligible and had not affected the safety of their home to be lived in. It also goes on to talk about if they file an appeal what they need to do for their appeal to be considered.

For instance, in this particular letter where it talks about insufficient damage, it also indicates that "Please send us documents such as statements from local officials, contractor estimates" -- so it does not say licensed contractor, et cetera, -- "to show that damage to your home was caused by the disaster and has caused unsafe or unlivable conditions."

So, again, it's a two-pronged determination. It's a determination of damages and unsafe conditions or habitability

- conditions. It goes hand-in-glove with one another. In other words, you can have damages that doesn't affect your habitability and it could still be insufficient damage.
- Q. Sure. If you have a statue outside right next to your house and the storm blows it over, that doesn't affect the habitability of the home. Right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. So FEMA would never pay for that
 - A. It depends.
- Q. -- no matter how much damage the storm did to the --
- A. No. If it affected ingress and egress of the home such that it would make it difficult to gain access to the home, FEMA could pay for that debris removal, to clear that and make the home accessible, make the home, allow for ingress and egress.
- Q. Okay. But you would agree that people need to know the reasons for FEMA's

decision on repair assistance so that they can make intelligent decisions about whether and how to appeal.

- A. It's clear as to how to appeal.
- Q. Right. But people don't know whether to appeal unless they know the reason for the adverse decision against them.
- A. If we get very specific, we'd probably have less appeals. This is just basically saying, "If you don't agree with our decision, you know, you can appeal. And in order to appeal, we're going to be asking you to provide us additional information to support your appeal."
- Q. Okay. And the letter that's sent to applicants, it doesn't tell them that a licensed contractor is required.
- A. No. It just says contractor estimates.
- Q. And the letter sent to applicants, it doesn't tell them that a contractor's estimate or a city's damages estimate is

required. It just says that's additional information that you could submit with your appeal. Correct?

- A. It says that that's what we will be looking for in their appeal as additional documentation. What that would be looked at is depending upon what is received as additional supporting documentation. If those elements that are identified in the appeal were not addressed in the initial inspection, a new inspector would be sent out with specific instructions to, "Look at this entire structure. Record all damages but pay attention to X, Y & Z as these were identified in the appeal itself as not being addressed."
- Q. But as I understand the appeal procedures that are in the documents, if somebody does not send in a contractor estimate, their appeal would be denied?
- A. Not just an estimate. If they have not submitted any additional supporting documentation or evidence that something was

overlooked in the initial inspection, then it would be denied because there's nothing for FEMA to render a different decision on based upon someone simply saying, "I didn't get enough money." There's nothing for FEMA to weigh that against.

- Q. But what about the applicant's letter saying these damages existed only as a result of the disaster? What if that letter is inconsistent with what the inspector says? The inspector says, "In my judgment, it was deferred maintenance."
- A. And if the applicant says, "The inspector didn't address my foundation because I didn't get any money for it and I know it suffered damages," if the person at the NPSC reviewing the case goes in and looks up in the information provided by the inspector and sees that the basement was addressed, well, this person has provided us no additional information to overturn our decision because the inspector went in and verified that that

damage may not have been disaster related.

You see my point? The applicant says that they can just appeal, but tell us why and show some supporting documentation for us to review so that we can make a determination as to whether or not we overturn it or do we simply have to send it out, was it not addressed and we have to send out a new inspector to do another inspection.

- Q. Well, the concern is, and this is what I'm trying to get at, is whether the documentation that you require, a contractor's estimate, is too difficult for poor people to be able to get, as a practical matter. Does FEMA know anything about the access that poor people are going to have to getting contractor estimates?
- A. No, but they could also go to local officials. Local officials can refer them to contractor estimates. It doesn't specify other than a contractor's estimate or local official statement, something that would

be a supporting document for FEMA to review to make a determination.

- Q. But if they can't get a contractor's estimate or a local government to inspect, they're out of luck. Correct?
- A. If they cannot provide us documentation for us to render a different decision, then we would not change our initial determination. I can't comment on one's ability or access to something that may or may not exist. I don't know how to answer that.
- Q. Inspector goes to a house, sees
 that the roof is old, and in the inspector's
 judgment the roof is a deferred maintenance
 roof and is not going to pay for any of that.
 So from your testimony, my understanding is
 all the inspector would write down is
 "Deferred Maintenance, General," or may add a
 comment that "This was the roof" on there, and
 that's all the information that FEMA has.
- A. No, that's not all the information FEMA has.

- Q. What other information does it have?
 - A. A habitability call.
 - Q. Anything else?

A. Yeah. All the other information that the inspector collects out in the field. They validate and verify their name, address, Social Security, their insurance, potential insurance coverage, members of the household. That's the standard stuff that we talked about earlier this morning that the inspector has to go out and asks very specific questions to validate the information that the individual provided.

During the inspection, the inspector is going to go out and record whatever damages he or she sees at the time, whether it's deferred maintenance, preexisting condition or disaster related. And then after that inspection is done, the last thing that the inspection does is make a habitability call. So it's not one piece of information at

a time. They're combined with other pieces of information.

- Q. But the only information that's recorded, if the judgment of that inspector was this is a deferred maintenance roof, the only information about the roof that is recorded is a check mark on "Deferred Maintenance" that says, and at most a comment that says "Roof."
- MS. WELLS: Object to the form.

 BY MR. WESEVICH:
 - Q. Right? There's no other information about what the basis of the deferred maintenance was.
 - A. Through deductive reasoning, it would also mean that there was no disaster-related damage as a result of having a deferred maintenance roof.
 - Q. Okay. In that situation let's say
 -- and the inspector in this hypothetical, the
 only basis for the judgment is he looked at
 the roof and it looked very old and that's why

the inspector said deferred maintenance. What if the owner had a receipt for having just put in the roof two years ago? How would the owner know to send in that receipt to FEMA? It's documentation. It's not anything that has to do with a contractor estimate or a city review of it. What is it in that letter you're looking at at 2956 that would tell the applicant, "I should send in this receipt that says I just got this roof put on"?

- A. What you haven't articulated is whether or not there was any damage as a result of that deferred maintenance roof.

 Your scenario is that the inspector goes out and sees an old roof and denies the person assistance. Does the person have any physical damage outside of the fact that it's an old roof. Has the person suffered any damages as a result of the disaster.
- Q. If the person is saying that "My roof leaks, my roof leaks" --
 - A. There will be evidence of that.

There will be evidence of that. And the inspector is to go in and look at each of the rooms and record damages in each room. So if there is damages as a result of that and the person says, "My roof leaks, there is the leak marks there," the inspector is going to make a determination as to whether or not that was a preexisting condition or does it look as though it's fresh and it's something that may have been a result of the disaster. That damage may be recorded or it may just be recorded that he also observed that it's a very old roof that is in ill repair. So he's going to make that call.

Now, after he goes into each room and if he records no damage, he's going to record no damage. But then at the end, he will make a determination as to whether or not the home is habitable. And that's the last thing that the inspector does.

So it's a combination of what he or she views as damage, an interpretation as

to whether or not it was disaster related and a determination as to whether or not the people can live in their home based upon the habitability criteria. So I can't answer without giving the whole picture, because it's several things that take place at the same time.

If an inspector goes out and simply looks at a roof and says, "That's an old roof," marks "Deferred Maintenance" and walks away, that's a bad inspection.

- Q. But you'd agree that all the applicant sees is the inspector comes in and looks around at the house, and then next thing, the applicant gets that letter that begins on 2956.
- A. Yes. And it says here that the FEMA decision was you are ineligible because of insufficient damage. If I don't agree with that, I'm going to write FEMA a letter saying that I don't agree with it and here is why.

 And, again, you need to, if you do not agree,

write an appeal. An appeal says that you must explain what it is you are appealing and then provide additional documentation to support what you are saying.

- Q. If an applicant sends in a contractor estimate, what happens then?
- A. The estimate is reviewed by the appeals folks, staff. And what they do is they review the entire case and look at what is the person appealing to see whether or not it was addressed in the inspector's report. If it wasn't addressed, most often it would be sent out for a reinspection.
 - O. And if it was addressed?
- A. If it was addressed and if it was addressed as deferred maintenance, it would come back to say that "We uphold our original decision that you have insufficient damage."
- Q. Even though there was a contractor estimate sent in?
- A. Did the contractor estimate indicate that it was something other than

deferred maintenance? Again, if the contractor's inspector just comes in and says the person received damage to their roof, okay, they can go in, the inspector's palm pad would indicate or the inspector's report would indicate what was deferred maintenance, what was preexisting, damages that existed interior to the rooms or if no damages were recorded.

And then if a contractor sends in saying that "Damages occurred as a result of the disaster and here's my estimate," if it was not covered in the initial inspection, a new inspector would go out and inspect the roof. Even if it was identified as deferred maintenance, there's a good chance that if the cost estimate from a contractor indicates that it was disaster related, most likely they would send out another inspector because it contradicts what was in the initial inspector's report.

Q. What if the contractor providing the estimate says, "I don't know. I don't

know whether this was disaster related or preexisting," then what does the appeals officer do with that estimate?

MS. WELLS: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: They would also determine whether or not the issue that was being addressed by the contractor, was it addressed in the initial inspection. In that case since we rely upon FEMA inspectors to provide us all the information on damage that is verifiable based upon the disaster, it would be overruled. The contractor's estimate would be overruled saying it was addressed in our initial inspection.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. So if FEMA's inspector said that the roof was deferred maintenance and a contractor went and looked at it and said, "I don't know whether the disaster caused this or whether it was preexisting," then FEMA's appeals officer would uphold the original FEMA inspector decision.

- A. If it was addressed in the initial inspector's report, yes.
- Q. When you say addressed, you mean that -- when you say that the FEMA inspector addressed that item, you mean that it was checked off in the areas of Deferred Maintenance.
- A. Yes, or comments were reviewed in the comments field.

Again, I'd like to just go back to this letter here where we talk about the insert that reads as IID, Ineligible,
Insufficient Damage. It says, "If you do not agree with our decision, you have the right to appeal." If you go to the next page --

- Q. That's 2957?
- A. Yes. At the very top as a continuation of that it also says, "Explain in writing why you disagree with FEMA's decision.

 And please send any new or additional information and documentation that you have supporting your appeal." So that would be

anything that would be an official document, official statements. But they also have to identify what it is that they are appealing.

"My roof leaks" and they provide us a document from a contractor to say that the roof continues to leak and it was caused by the event but it was addressed as deferred maintenance, chances are we're going to send another inspector out there to confirm either what was originally stated as deferred maintenance or to support whatever that contractor has provided as estimates.

- Q. But how would the applicant know to ask the contractor to make this deferred maintenance versus disaster related distinction?
- A. They're most likely not going to know that. But they do know that they don't agree with FEMA's decision.
- Q. Describe what the team leader of the appeals section does. Does that person

review every decision by an appeals officer before it's finalized? Or describe what role that person has.

- A. The team itself?
- Q. The team leader.
- A. The team leader or supervisor?
- Q. Yes, sir.

and answering any questions that may come up regarding any appeal that comes in, assisting the staff and reviewing their case, clarifying any questions that may arise. And typically the caseworker would review the case, render a decision, coordinate it with the supervisor. I'm not 100 percent sure if the supervisor signs off on every single appeal, but it's usually worked out because appeals are tricky.

You know, appeals are looking at all documentation, trying to determine what the individual is actually appealing, looking at the inspection material, all of the comments. So it's a constant exchange of, you

- know, "I have a question here. Can you look at this? Can you verify that? Is this sufficient?" It's a constant exchange.
- Q. Does somebody have to approve each appeal decision besides the person who recommends that decision? In other words, are there two people who review each appeal decision before it's finalized?
- A. That I'm not 100 percent sure of.

 I don't know if -- the appeals person is someone else. If it was manually reviewed before isn't the same person. It's different people that review it. I do believe it is a two-step process, but I would have to verify that. I know that we've been streamlining that throughout the years. So I don't know what it currently is, if it's two or more people that actually go through the approval process for it.
- Q. If a judgment of deferred maintenance is made by the initial inspector, the only way that that judgment can be

- overturned is if there is an appeal inspection ordered by the appeals officer. Is that accurate?
- A. There's no -- I mean the judgment takes place in the field. The call, the eligibility call takes place at the processing center. So the call wouldn't be deferred maintenance. The call would be IID or insufficient damage.
- Q. Ineligible for insufficient damage.
- A. Ineligible for insufficient damage. That is the eligibility determination. Now, what would feed into that determination is the inspection report. And if the inspection report only indicated that there was deferred maintenance, then the person would have to appeal and provide additional documentation to support what they are appealing, and then a determination would be made to send out another inspector.
 - Q. But the only way that a deferred

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

maintenance determination by the inspector could be overturned is if a second inspection is ordered.

Not all the time. And, again, if the inspector sees on the roof that the roof is deferred maintenance but there was actual damage to the roof and estimates that there's 20 square feet of shingles that need to be replaced or repaired on the roof and a contractor submits an estimate saying that there is 35 square feet of shingles that need to be replaced, they may not send out an They may just simply grant the inspector. additional 15 square feet of shingles. it's not 100 percent one way or the other. It's a call determined based upon what they're appealing and how they're appealing and what documentation they provided to appeal.

If I can add another example of that. Most often when there's basements involved and utilities, furnace, hot water heater is damaged but the inspector can't

determine how severely it's damaged, the inspector is going to provide a clean-and-sanitize or a clean-and-test for that utility. Then the person is going to call in a contractor and say, "I got money from FEMA. I need to have this cleaned and repaired." And that person then says, "Well, this is damaged a lot more once I got into it. It's really damaged." They can submit an appeal with an estimate and FEMA could award on that appeal.

It's the same type of premise. We may not have to send an inspector out to verify on something that was already addressed but not addressed fully.

- Q. And when you say addressed but not addressed fully, you mean by the initial inspector.
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Are appeals ever auto-determined?
- 21 A. No.

Q. I show you Pages 5006 and 5007.

1 Α. Yes. 2 Have you had a chance to review Q. those? 3 4 Yes, I have. Α. 5 In your Code of Federal Q. Regulations right there, this book --6 7 Α. Yes. -- could you look at 206.111. 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 206.111 has a bunch of 0. 11 definitions. Correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 One of those definitions is Q. "safe"? 14 15 Correct. Α. Okay. The definition of "safe" 16 Q. 17 that appears in 206.111 is less specific than the definition of "safe" that appears on Pages 18 19 5006 and 5007. Correct? 20 MS. WELLS: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I 21 22 would categorize it as less specific. It's FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

different in the sense that in the Code of
Federal Regulations which is based upon FEMA's
authority to provide assistance for
disaster-related damages, "safe" is defined as
means secured from disaster-related hazards or
threats to occupants.

You now need to take what that is saying and how is that to be viewed when you go out and look at a structure. And so this sets the parameter for what this is articulating -- when I say "this," it's Page 5006 -- for habitability characteristics in terms of what does this particular "safe" mean to an inspector in the field.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. As I understand what you are saying, what's written on Pages 5006 and 5007 is consistent with what is in the Code of Federal Regulations. It's just more useful in applying it when you go out in the field to inspect a home. Is that correct?
 - A. That is correct. That's correct.

Q. Now, when judging whether disaster-related damages has caused a safety hazard, does FEMA have any standards for how immediate the safety hazard has to be, how likelihood, the likelihood of there being a danger or how severe the danger is going to be to someone? In other words, beyond what's written here on Pages 5006 and 5007, does FEMA have any other standards for saying what is

safe, what repairs are necessary to make a

home safe?

when it comes to imminent danger that would affect the habitability, not specifically to items as it relates to safety. And I say that because there is, for instance, imminent danger where the house may not have suffered any damage but is on the side of a cliff that is eroding. That places a house in imminent danger which would affect the habitability of the home which could also mean the home isn't safe in its certain condition, in its current

- 1 location that close to the cliff.
- Q. Now, both the statute, the

 Stafford Act and the regulations refer to

 these terms, "safe, sanitary and functional."
- 5 Correct?

8

9

10

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. Correct.
 - Q. Does FEMA tell contractors to distinguish safe from sanitary from functional or do they all basically meld into one concept?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. There --
 - A. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
- Q. The question is do you tell

 contractors how to distinguish among safe,

 sanitary and functional?
 - A. It has to do with the line items associated with the Inspection Services. In other words, it all is a function of habitability. So if there are unsafe conditions -- and there are examples, I believe, that are described in some of the

- training materials. It also describes what functional is. "Safe" is described as it is here and as you have described on a previous page on the screen, what to look at when looking at safety items.
- Q. Okay. We're looking at 4290 here.

 Do you recognize what that document is?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Would you describe it?
- A. It's an ineligibility report produced from the NEMIS system on all the different categories of why an applicant is ineligible.
- Q. And are those produced real time for FEMA Headquarters in each disaster?
- A. It will vary but yes, we can call up the NPSC and have them pull a report at any time and they can produce a report like this.
- Q. Do they send those reports to you daily during the first days of any major disaster?
 - A. Not in Headquarters. It's

something that is typically monitored in the joint field office by the Individual Assistance staff at the joint field office. And it's typically not going to come about immediately following the disaster. It will come about when requested by the staff, and then the staff would request however often they want the reports sent to them.

- Q. When you say staff will request a report, are you talking about field staff or are you talking about NPSC staff?
- A. Field staff. Field staff in terms of the Individual Assistance staff that's in the field. Most often the NPSC will also send out a NPSC liaison to support the Individual Assistance staff in the field who can also monitor a lot of this activity that's going on at the processing center.
- Q. Now, this is the ineligible housing assistance report. Is this part of a larger document that would include a report concerning the eligible housing assistance?

- A. There are numerous, numerous reports that can be pulled. And it depends on what information you are seeking. But it can be part of a larger report or it could be a stand-alone report. It depends on what information you are requesting NPSC to pull.
- Q. But what information is pulled and reported from the field to the NPSC and then from the NPSC to Headquarters regarding a disaster?
- A. They will look at eligibility rates. They will look at dollar amounts disbursed. They will look at how many applications had been received, how many are eligible, how many are ineligible. But again, there could be a category of ineligible. And then if there is high numbers, we would want to look at a breakdown, which is what this report is is a breakdown of those ineligible applicants. It can vary depending upon who is seeking what information.

We could also get information and

reports on the Inspection Services, how well are they receiving work and sending work back to the NPSC, what is the time frame it's taking them, average turn-around from an applicant. There's just hundreds of ways you can ask for information and receive it.

- Q. And my question is specifically on Disaster 1780. What was the ongoing monitoring that was conducted by the NPSC in the field and by Headquarters of NPSC in the field?
- A. I couldn't be sure. I mean it's standard that there is constant monitoring.

 Prior to coming to Headquarters, I spent 15 years doing disaster work, probably have over 50 disasters that I've worked on. Most of those disasters, I was a Human Services Branch Chief. I would ask for these reports on an ongoing basis just to get a feel for where we stand within that disaster.

So this gives me a good indication as to how many inspections, not this report

but any series of reports that I would request, depending upon the information I am seeking, how far along are we on this disaster, how many inspections have been issued, how many are pending, what's the estimate of which we initially set for how many inspections we would anticipate to know whether or not X percentage through this disaster.

I would also look at the dollar amounts, the eligibility rates. It's a constant ongoing. It's never something that is potentially set 100 percent of the time with the exception of some of this data would appear in the daily situational report. The daily situational report is at the request of the FCO. And that gets shared with Headquarters, but it doesn't typically get into this type of detail. It may have an overall, what we would refer to as dashboard or synopsis of the disaster, how many registrations we have, how many are eligible,

how many ineligible, what's the dollar amount, how many inspectors are in the field, how many inspections have been completed, how many are pending.

Information like that which is overall information could appear in this daily situational report. As time goes on and the disaster starts to slow down, become more routine, the situational report may become every other day, every third day, once a week, once every two weeks, depending upon the activity in the field.

- Q. When you mentioned FCO, by that you mean Federal Contracting Officer?
- A. Federal Coordinating Officer. I'm sorry. Yes.
- Q. As Human Services coordinator, where were you located?
- A. I was based out of Boston in FEMA Region 1, but I worked disasters all over the country.
 - Q. So as I understand, the monitoring FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

of the disaster response is done from the regions?

A. It happens at different levels. I do know when a disaster is ongoing at the NPSC, they have continual monitoring of the disaster because they are actually doing the processing. These are all processing reports. So that is done on a, you know, all-the-time basis.

need this information initially and I may request it two weeks into the disaster so that I can -- you know, once I'm able to sit and digest all the information that's coming in, I may want to see, "Okay, where are we in this disaster? I can see that the eligibility rate is only 67 percent. Why are people ineligible?" I would ask for this report which would give me a complete breakdown of why people are ineligible.

Q. Is it your experience that the applications start off slow, they ramp up very

high, and then they level off, and then they drop precipitously? Is that generally the way that disasters go?

- A. Generally. Generally. People will apply within the first several days of the disaster. The Inspection Services is ramped up real quickly so that they could literally be out in a matter of 24, 48 hours, be in the field, start receiving work. And then we start monitoring, you know, how fast is that work being sent back and completed to the NPSC. So it does generally look like a bell curve. And it's usually up front where it peaks rather quickly and then it trails off.
- Q. And then there's a three-day turn around requirement on inspections. Correct?
 - A. For the overall task order, yes.
- Q. So the inspections, most of them are done within the first two or three weeks after the disaster.
 - A. Depending upon the size of the FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

events, they're usually pretty much on target.

And what I mention is it's for the entire task order that they have an average turn around time of three days. That's something that, quite honestly, a Federal Coordinating Officer is interested in because they want to make sure that assistance is going out in a timely manner. So they will start asking the Human Services Branch Chief or the Individual Assistance Branch Chief, "How are the inspectors doing?" And we can pull those reports to see what the average turn around times are. We can monitor that.

If it is a very widespread disaster where people are scattered throughout the area, they may be more than initially three-day turn around, because people have to make contact with the individual, meet the individual and look at their damage. But if it's a concentrated area where they can do multiple inspections one right after another

and get in contact with the individual and meet them, you know, the average might be less than three days. But they look for that three-day average for the entire task order.

Q. Do you know what efforts were made to find all these general reporting documents for 1780? Because we have these ineligible reports but we don't have any reports saying that these are the dollar amounts that were recorded or any reports that were made from the FCO to Headquarters or anything like that.

So my question is do you know what efforts were made to find the statistical reports that were shared within FEMA on this particular disaster?

A. No, I don't. But I do know as mentioned, having produced many situational reports, we typically will report out concerns for the disaster, where we are in the disaster. And we'd always report out, and it may not be in a report form like this but we report out eligibility rate. We would report

out the dollar amounts that have been disbursed and the amount of registrations we've received. That is also typically articulated and explained in the daily briefings that the FCOs have. And I say it starts out daily and then it may go twice a week, three times a week, and that will trail off as the disaster goes on.

- Q. Because FEMA doesn't just give the task order to PaRR and say, "Bring us back these inspections and then we'll process them." It's watching the data that is building with respect to applications and how they're being processed all the time in each disaster and it's doing that real time.

 Correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. How is it that FEMA shares information with various components at NPSC, at Headquarters, on the field? Is it done by e-mail? Is it done by -- do you have carrier pigeons? Do you have the mail service? How

is it that that information is shared?

A. Typically, there's reports officers in the joint field office. And typically, I would have a reports officer assigned to Human Services in Individual Assistance. And we could ask for what's known as the WEBI reports. It's W-E-B-I. I can't remember exactly what that is. It's a WEBI report and you can ask through the WEBI report. They have canned reports and ad hoc reports, but they're standard reports that are produced through WEBI. And it will give all the data statistics of that disaster.

So I could go to my reports

officer and say, "Can you pull me the

ineligibility report," or "Could you pull me

the disbursement report? Can you pull me the

Inspection Services report," the owner report,

the housing report. I mean there's numerous,

just volumes of reporting that we do.

Q. Would you go to that person's office and ask them orally or would you send

- them an e-mail saying, "I need this report?"
- A. Typically, it would be done orally.

- Q. Are all the reports that have been requested and provided saved in a particular file?
- A. It's all within NEMIS. So you can still pull reports from NEMIS for the disaster.
- Q. But how can you tell what reports were pulled by whom and when while the disaster was going on?
- A. It can be pulled from -- any number of requests can be made to pull a report. So it would be very difficult to say what report was pulled for what reason by someone's request for that information.
- Q. And where are the requests recorded?
- A. The requests could be orally, but the reports are generated through WEBI. I don't know if WEBI actually tracks how many

different reports. It's a database. You can just simply go in and pull the information from the database. I don't know if it says, "Okay, on this day, at this time, you pulled this information."

A lot of times what we do to meet the sit. rep. report, situational report, is we will set a time at 5:00 o'clock every night. If the report is generated for 7:00 o'clock in the morning, it means it has to be written at night. So we want to cut off every day at 4:00 o'clock, provide the reports folks a report that they will then put into the situational report.

So it could be set up once to say,

"Give us this until otherwise noted," or I can
go to my reports person at any time and say,

"I need a report today, right now on

Inspection Services. I have to go meet with
the Federal Coordinating Officer. He has
questions on them." And I can pull it right
there, have someone pull the report.

And that can be pulled from the Federal Coordinating Officer. It can be pulled from NPSC Headquarters. I'm sure it's pulled all the time at the NPSC. It can be pulled from External Affairs, Congressional Affairs. The request can come from any number of sources.

- Q. But the type of real time monitoring and the questions that were asked at FEMA Headquarters and at NPSC about 1780, I'm asking what records are made of that real time monitoring process besides -- I hear the WEBI is one potential source of that information. What are the other potential sources of that information?
- A. NEMIS. I mean NEMIS is the official records keeper for the Agency. So all the data is kept in there, and you can access the reports through WEBI.
- Q. That's going to tell us the information but it's not going to tell us what real time monitoring was done by FEMA

Headquarters.

- A. That's right, because it just happens all the time. And as I mentioned, where processing takes place, they have more monitoring taking place on an ongoing basis than, say, the disaster field office or Headquarters. So, again, they're looking at queue monitoring. They're looking at any backups in the system. So they're monitoring activity level in NEMIS for any particular disaster on a daily basis. But that may not be generating a report. It's managing the system. So it's constant.
- Q. You see in this Page 4290 that there were 14,900 insufficient damage denials as of September the 3rd, 2008.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if I told you that there were 33,900 total applications and that this was roughly about, what, that's about 45 percent, something like that, of just the insufficient damage denials, would that strike you as a

high number?

- A. It's situational.
- Q. You mean disaster specific when you say situational?
 - A. Yeah. It could be something that, you know, it's situational based upon the type of event, the severity of the damages, the -- I mean we get very high spikes of ineligibility in different parts of the country for various reasons. But, again, it's going to be based upon the inspector going out and validating that damage.
 - Q. When you get those spikes, do you at FEMA Headquarters try to understand the reason behind those spikes at the time of the disaster?
 - A. If it's elevated to Headquarters, it's something that's typically going to be brought up in the disaster field office of which they have their Human Services staff there who would monitor and try to find out what's the situation. At least that's what I

- did when I was a Human Services Branch Chief.
- Q. But if the field sees a spike, is an attempt made by someone at FEMA, whether it's Headquarters or NPSC, to understand the reason behind it?
 - A. Yes, typically.

- Q. And would this be considered a spike, 14,900 of 33,000-some total applications?
- A. I would consider it to be raising an issue that I would want to know more about.
- Q. And would you expect Headquarters and NPSC to have looked into this?
- A. I would expect the field office to have looked into it and get a better understanding as to what is driving this ineligibility for insufficient damage.
- Q. And what reports would you expect them to generate?
- A. They may not generate a report, but they would look into it and try to find out what is the situation surrounding a high

percentage of insufficient damage. So it could be a coordination back to the NPSC which could be verbal to say, "We're seeing a lot of insufficient damage due to..." X, Y & Z reasons. And it may be good enough or they may want to say, "Can you look into that."

and as you can see, there are any number, there are several different reasons why people are ineligible there. So in any one of those, they may want to look into any number of those reasons as to why this number is this; you know, "Can you give me more information? What are you finding? What are the inspectors finding?" Because the IID is an insufficient damage call. It's an inspector call.

So they may want to reach back out to find out what is the inspector finding out there? Why do we have such a high percentage rate? That is a typical reaction if I were to review this report and I were in the field.

Again, there are many different people out

there who would do things differently. My
experience, when I see high numbers, I want to
know a little more. I would call the NPSC and
I would ask them, "Can you look into this?"

- Q. But my question is what was done in 1780 to look into it?
- A. I don't know. It could have been verbal.
 - Q. Have you tried to find out?
- A. I was aware that there were a lot of insufficient damage but I wasn't aware at the time of 1780. This was done in 2008. I'm not 100 percent sure if I was aware or not. But, again, this is something that is monitored in the field.
- Q. Right, but you haven't looked into it to prepare for this deposition to look into whether anything was done to learn the reason behind that number.
- A. No, because I'm aware that there was an awful lot of deferred maintenance and preexisting condition that resulted in an

insufficient call.

- Q. How are you aware of that?
- A. From reading the material and when

 I was first questioned about what's my

 knowledge of this back in 2010, 2011,

somewhere in that time frame.

- Q. Now, the first bullet point that's written on this report says "Most of ineligible HA cases are IID decisions that consist of deferred maintenance, and damages that do not affect habitability are the top reasons." What information in NEMIS would you look at to distinguish how many of the 14,900 were deferred maintenance and how many of them were habitability?
- A. It comes from the Inspection
 Services report and what they capture as
 damage. And if they indicate that it's
 deferred maintenance, that is the call that
 the inspector makes. Habitability is
 determined based upon the FEMA decision and
 its processing system.

who says it's habitable, the overall condition of the home is habitable or not inhabitable, uninhabitable. And whether or not it's going to be processed for any assistance is determined, the actual eligibility is determined by the system. So I don't know if I explained that clear enough. But also clearly for someone to come up with a report with those bullets underneath, they did some analysis to determine. So this was monitored.

- Q. And you had said that you can go back to NEMIS and it's got all the data in it and you can ask it all the questions and generate all the reports that you want.
 - A. All the different reports.
- Q. So my question is could you go back in now and say, "Well, how many of those 14,900 applications were insufficient damage as a result of deferred maintenance and how many of them were the result of habitability"? Could you go back and find those numbers?

1 Α. It should be there, yes. 2 (Recessed from 3:14 to 3:29 p.m.) BY MR. WESEVICH: 3 4 Back on. Now, three percent of Q. 5 the inspections in each disaster are supposed 6 to be checked for quality control. Correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 0. And FEMA's purpose in doing that 9 is to make sure that inspections are 10 conforming to FEMA's policy. 11 Α. In the Inspection Services 12 guidelines, correct. 13 Because FEMA wants there to be Q. 14 consistent administration of the IA Program. 15 Right? 16 That's correct. Α. 17 Q. You want people who have basically 18 the same facts to get the same repairman. 19 Yes, that's correct. Α. 20 No matter who their inspector is. Q. 21 That's correct. Α. 22 And a FEMA correction is when FEMA Q. FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

orders the contractor to change an inspection or to take a certain action with respect to one of its inspectors. Is that accurate?

- A. It's accurate in terms that FEMA would notice an error in the report and ask for it to be corrected. They can also monitor those errors. They can also monitor the actual inspectors by inspector number to know whether or not is it an error that's made by one inspector or is it something that is systemic that needs correction. So yes, there is a process of which FEMA can send back an inspection for correction.
- Q. Because not only is FEMA monitoring the overall statistics for disaster assistance applications real time, it's also monitoring each application and how that data is, the validity of that data according to FEMA standards.
- A. Yes. They will do a sampling of all the inspections. And contractually they're to review three percent of those QCs.

1 They do quality control inspections on that minimal three percent. So it's a sampling. 2 And would you say that F-corrs are 3 **Q**. 4 unusual in any disaster? F-corrs? 5 Α. 6 FEMA corrections. 0. 7 Oh, FEMA corrections. Α. 8 Q. Are those commonly referred to as 9 F-corrs? 10 I don't know for sure. I don't Α. 11 know for sure. That's language that's 12 typically used through Inspection Services. 13 So I don't know. It wasn't used when I did 14 inspections. It's plausible. But yeah, FEMA corrections are done. 15 16 But are they unusual in any Q. 17 disaster? 18 No, no, they're not unusual. 19 So if there were 345 FEMA 0. 20 corrections out of 1,444 QC inspections, that 21 would be about 25 percent. Correct? 22 Α. Yes.

- 1 Q. 300 divided by 1,444 --
- 2 A. Yes.

- Q. -- is roughly 25 percent.
- A. Roughly.
 - Q. So what that would say is that in a quarter of all times that FEMA reviewed what PaRR did with inspections, that FEMA disagreed with it. Isn't that what it means?
 - A. It may not be a disagreement. It may be a correction. And a correction could be for any number of reasons, you know. And I couldn't even begin to articulate all the reasons, but there are several. There's numerous reasons that would be kicked back as a correction. And, again, it's usually based upon the processing established throughout the system and then the Inspection Services guidelines.
 - Q. Is a 25 percent correction rate high?
 - A. I don't know. I haven't compared it to other disasters.

- Q. Is that something that FEMA would want to know the reason for if they were issuing that rate of corrections?
- A. It's something that Inspection
 Services would monitor and Inspection Services
 would want to track, because it also affects
 the accuracy of the inspections and the
 contract itself. So in other words, it's a
 performance-based contract and they watch for
 error rates and everything else, and that
 would affect the contract itself.
- Q. And are there target error rates set in the contract?
- A. That I don't know. I don't know.

 I have not reviewed a contract in a very long time.
- Q. But when you say Inspection

 Services -- you used that term several times

 -- I want to be clear about exactly who that
 is.
- A. Steve Ells at the Virginia NPSC is the project supervisor, program supervisor

overseeing Inspection Services. He's a manager out there.

- Q. He oversees all of the PaRR and PB contracts?
 - A. He oversees the contracts for PaRR and PB, yes.
 - Q. And so he would be the one to say whether 25 percent was an unusually high FEMA correction percentage for QC inspections.
 - A. He would be my point of contact.

 If I asked him to give me some samplings of so many disasters of what the error rate was, he would be my point of contact that I would go to.
 - Q. And he would be the one to investigate the reason for, the reasons behind the need for FEMA corrections?
 - A. He could be one, yes. He would be the primary person. But there are several people that work for him because there is also task monitors, project monitors, disaster monitors. So I would know who is the

Inspection Services coordinator assigned to that specific disaster and I may go to that person for that disaster to say, "Can you look into this?"

- Q. And do you know who the people were who would have been responsible for Disaster 1780?
- A. No, I don't. I would have to look that up to find out who was assigned as the Inspection Services coordinator.
 - Q. How would you look that up?
- A. I would go to Steve Ells. Steve Ells is the supervisor/manager for Inspection Services. He would be the one, through other individuals as well, to assign a particular disaster to one of the project monitors.
- Q. You had mentioned that one of the things that the FCO, one of the data elements that the FCO sends to FEMA Headquarters on a real time basis during a disaster is the amount of dollars awarded.
 - A. He sends an entire situational

report, commonly referred to as a sit. rep., which is a report inclusive of all of the activities ongoing for that disaster. There is a section in that report assigned for Individual Assistance. And that information is typically reported up from the Individual Assistance officer, Branch Chief, Branch Director, whoever is in charge of Individual Assistance at the JFO. That information is provided into the situational report, the sit. Rep.

- Q. And one of the numbers that's reported regarding Individual Assistance is the total number of dollars that had been awarded in the disaster.
 - A. Typically. Typically, it is.
- Q. And is it broken down in the situational report by repair assistance, rental assistance, replacement assistance?
- A. It can be. It can be. If the FCO or if there is people wanting to know how it's broken down, we can break it down. A lot of

times it's, you know, we're ten days into the disaster. FEMA has issued \$13 million in

4 Sometimes it's just the overarching amounts.

assistance for individual assistance.

If someone says, "How is that broken down," then we can provide that information.

- Q. Who are the consumers of those situation reports?
- A. A lot of times they'll go to the state. It goes to FEMA Headquarters for senior management to be aware of the situation ongoing in the field. It can be provided. Since the information is produced in the field office, a lot of times the other sections within the field office may want to look at those reports to see what's going on in, for instance, Public Assistance or Community Relations or External Affairs. So it's a broad audience.
- Q. Would Deborah Ingram be one of the ones to get those reports or the person in her position?

1 Α. She would have access to those 2 reports, yes. But would she look at them for a 3 0. 4 major disaster on a daily basis, do you know? 5 Α. Possibly. The reason why I say 6 possibly is that we also set up conference 7 calls on a major disaster on a daily basis for coordination efforts. And we will ask 8 9 questions. We'll coordinate. We coordinate 10 with the region. So maybe she gets her information from some other source. But the 11 12 information is typically provided in the 13 situational report, sit. rep. report. Whether 14 or not she reads it, I couldn't tell you. 15 Would you look at that document. Q. 16 We're looking at Page 5948. 17 Is it clear enough? 18 MS. WELLS: Can you tell us if 19 this is the entire document or does it 20 continue on another page? 21 MR. WESEVICH: It does continue 22 That's the only other page. If the on. FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

footnote is a little hard to read, I can...

THE WITNESS: I've read it.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Do you know what that document is?
- A. No, I don't.

- Q. Do you know what the form of the document is?
- A. No, I don't. It looks as though it's a summary sheet of the preliminary damage assessment information and the declaration information. But I don't know in what reference this document was produced.
- Q. Is there a preliminary damage assessment that's done for most disasters?
- A. Yes. They are done for the majority of disasters. Even after if it's a catastrophic event where it's inevitable that it's going to be declared, sometimes the President at his or her discretion -- his discretion -- will declare the event. But we always do a damage assessment after the event so we know the scope and magnitude and the

required resources to respond to that disaster.

Most typically, a preliminary damage assessment is to support the state's request in requesting a declaration from the President. So in other words, FEMA regional offices will coordinate with the state and deploy teams to get together with the state personnel and go out and assess damages before a declaration is granted. That information is collected, coordinated with the state. And then the state, based upon that information, would make a request for the President to declare an event.

- Q. The President declares roughly like a hundred disasters a year. Correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And maybe ten of those are so big there's no real question that it's going to be declared a disaster and it's mostly known in advance and driven from the Federal Government as far as the Presidential disaster

declaration.

- A. It's never driven from the Federal Government. It's always at the request of the state.
- Q. Very well. Who prepares the preliminary damage assessment?
- A. The preliminary damage assessment is comprised of a team, typically, of regional staff, disaster employee reservists, disaster assistance employees that are called up by the region to conduct a preliminary damage assessment. They will usually have a refresher course of what to look for in those damages.

The state will usually give indication as to what to look for in that event. And I call it event because it's not declared yet. And then typically the state will indicate where the teams of PDA staff need to go to collect damage information.

Typically, when the state and the team go to a community, the first stop is with

the community officials to get a better indication from the community officials where to look for damage. And then it's merely a preliminary damage assessment to look at the damage size, magnitude and scope of that disaster.

out, look at the homes that were damaged.

They don't go in and do a detailed inspection.

They go in and make a determination whether or not it was major, minor or destroyed damage based upon what they see. That information is then coordinated with the state because the state is in partnership all along throughout this process. And then the state will make a determination as to make a request for declaration from the President.

- Q. Do you know who the PDA team was that went out to investigate for Disaster 1780?
 - A. I do not know.
 - Q. How would you find out?

- Region 6 of which is the region overseeing the State of Texas and find out who headed up that team, how many teams were deployed and the areas that they visited. I do know that they visited the three counties that were declared, and that was supported by the request from the state.
- Q. Please look at Document 5122 and 23. I want to begin by just asking whether you know what this form of document is. It should be in -- you filed it as 78-9. And I think it's also in, another version of it is around Page 100 of your Bates numbers. But that's slightly different than this one. It probably won't matter.
 - A. I've reviewed this document.
- Q. Do you know what the document is that is at 5122 and 5123?
- A. I believe this is a summary sheet produced for the contractors' briefing that gives all the particulars for this event and

sets a course in terms of, I mean it talks about what was declared, are they anticipating any additional areas to be declared, what are some of the potential concerns, are there language concerns. It's a snapshot of what was looked at through the PDA process and then the declaration process.

It also says here that the declared date was July 24th but IA, Individual Assistance, programs was added on July 31st which would mean that Public Assistance was most likely declared first, and then because the preliminary damage assessments weren't completed or the states hadn't made a request for IA that they did an additional preliminary damage assessment to support the state's request to add IA programs.

- Q. So that document that's Page 5123 was produced after the PDA was done.
- A. Typically, yes, because it's typically produced when the disaster is declared. So it's not produced because the

PDA is done. It's produced when the disaster was declared to give a snapshot of the information that's been gathered through PDA, through the region. And it also says down here, I believe, you know, who was assigned for the inspections. This was produced by the contractor, the Inspection Services folks for the contractors' briefing.

- Q. What documents are created to describe the PDA?
- A. There is a PDA manual that describes how to conduct a PDA. There is also, the process for a PDA is that if an event happens in a state and the state feels as though it's beyond their capability, the state will coordinate with the region to say that "We want to send out PDA teams." The region would say, "Are you looking at Public Assistance or Individual Assistance," because they would be different teams that would be going out.

And if the state would say that

FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

"We want to set up teams to go out and look at three counties. We may look at more. We're still collecting information. So I'm looking for three teams and they'll begin on this coming Monday and could you have three teams ready to support us in this preliminary damage assessment," the region would say, "Yes, and where would you want to meet?" And then they would meet up with the state, go over the particulars for what the state knows at that time.

ask the state, "We're here to look at all damages." And a lot of times the state may say, "Well, we're still receiving reports from several counties but right now we want to go out and look at these particular counties," and the teams would meet up with the state. They would all go out to the affected county, meet up with the local person, get more information about the types of damages that they've seen, where to go, where the locations

were, and then they would all go out to view that damage.

it's collected on like tick sheets. We used to call them tick sheets which would indicate category of damage, whether or not it was a single family home, a mobile home, condominium, multifamily home, the address, the location, and then the category of damage. And I say category because it's not an inspection on the particulars to that structure. It's basically a category of damage of major, minor or destroyed or inaccessible. And if they can't get to the area, it would be marked as inaccessible.

All of that would then be gathered however long the PDA process takes. Sometimes it might take one to three days. Other times it might take longer. If the state wants to look at other counties or other areas of damage, that's an ongoing process while the PDA team is out. And then all the information

is put together and the information is provided to the state.

The state would do a write-up to the President or to the region asking for -to the President but asking for a major declaration. They would describe the event, the duration of the event, the beginning of the event and whether or not the event is continuing or if there was an end date to the event, what counties they're looking for, and what assistance they're looking for.

That goes to the region. That goes to Headquarters and goes to the region. The region then writes up a report on their recommendation based upon the state's request and then it gets forwarded to Headquarters of which it then goes through a whole other process through the declarations unit.

It's also reviewed by the programs to determine whether or not it is supported by Headquarters on whether or not to support the recommendation from the region based upon the

request of the state.

- Q. The state has the option of involving the regional teams in preparing the PDA. Correct?
- A. It's not so much an option. The information needs to be collected. And it's collected jointly to support the state's request. So in other words, and according to the 44 CFR on the declarations process, the state needs to verify the damages in order to make a request. And what they do is they do a preliminary damage assessment. And they will seek assistance from FEMA to also conduct that preliminary damage assessment. FEMA then typically also involves the Small Business Administration to look at business damages since FEMA does not cover business damages.
- Q. So it's not accurate to say that in smaller disasters the states will do the PDA themselves and send them to the President. They'll always involve FEMA to do the PDA even in the smaller disasters.

A. It would still need some validation from FEMA before it goes to the President for determination.

- Q. Now, deferred maintenance is also an issue in Public Assistance. Correct?
- A. I'm not familiar. I mean I mentioned earlier that I worked in Public Assistance. It was in 1993. So a lot of things have changed in Public Assistance since then. So I'm not as familiar anymore with how they conduct their business in Public Assistance.
- Q. So you don't know how deferred maintenance is considered in what Public Assistance can be provided in repairing public buildings.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is it accurate to say that buildings, whether they're private or public that are not individual residences, office buildings, shopping centers, courthouses, all kinds of buildings, they typically have

maintenance records associated with them because they've got supervision that's more formal than an individual's residence? Is that accurate?

- A. I would say that's accurate.
- Q. Now, some insurance companies require like a building maintenance log as a condition of insurance. Right?
 - A. I believe so.

- Q. In the preliminary damage assessment based on the population of the counties for which assistance is requested or the declaration is requested, and based on the number of minor homes damaged and homes suffering major damage, does FEMA come up with an amount that it expects to spend in IA?
 - A. In terms of dollar amount?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. There is formulas that are identified in the Code of Federal Regulations and the declaration that are guidelines.

 They're dated. I believe they're from 1990.

But FEMA does not put, necessarily put dollar amounts. That is part of the regional write-up. But there is no threshold or standard of which FEMA would apply a dollar amount for Individual Assistance.

Public Assistance, according to the Code of Federal Regulations, does set guidelines for dollar amount thresholds per capita costs. Individual Assistance does not provide such per capita dollar amounts.

- Q. When you say threshold, do you mean like a quota as far as an amount that FEMA expects to pay for Individual Assistance for this disaster?
- A. No, no. It's not a quota. It's not a threshold. For Individual Assistance based upon the major/minor destroyed damage, we start looking at what some of the potential program costs could be. That is no way binding in any way. It's a benchmark for how big we think the disaster is going to be and the expected Federal assistance required to

support the recovery of individuals. It is not binding in any way.

- Q. But that's a number that FEMA

 Headquarters is very interested in for a major

 disaster, right? They want to know what their

 exposure is and whether they're going to have

 to go to Congress for appropriations, right?
- A. Well, they want to know but it's not -- what's more important is the magnitude of the disaster, the homes destroyed, what is it that Federal Government can bring to assist in the recovery. So I mean this information is required. It's in the templates that are provided that we need to come up with what the estimated program costs are.

The reason why we look for that is because it also helps FEMA to know how to staff that disaster. If we know that we're going to have an awful lot of people that are eligible for other needs assistance, you know, is it also helps the state. The Other Needs Assistance Program can be administered

different ways. And if there's going to be an awful lot of people eligible for the Other

Needs Assistance Program and it happens to be a state-run program, that information would be used to support the state and what some of the staffing requirements would be. It's a benchmark. It's an indicator to know how we should manage that disaster.

- Q. And are statistics prepared that compare disasters to one another? For example, this many people lived in the declared counties and this was the average amount that was provided on a per capita basis. Are those types of statistics maintained by disaster?
- A. Not on a per capita basis. In other words, there is information -- again, are we talking preliminary damage determination or post-declaration, post-award?
- Q. Actually, thank you for that clarification because I did mean post, actual performance. And the question is, broadly,

after the disaster is concluded, what statistics are maintained to compare payments by disaster, across disasters?

- A. I'm carefully picking this only because, are you talking about comparison of disaster to disaster or comparison of that disaster as it related to the preliminary damage assessment for that event?
 - Q. Both.

A. Okay. Because FEMA has been doing a lot of work in trying to look at improving our PDA process so that in an event that was declared, we are now looking at what's the end result of that disaster in terms of the amount of people that applied for assistance, the category of damage, the dollar amount, how does that compare to the preliminary damage information that actually was used for the state to request their declaration.

We are doing some of those comparisons now. We typically don't look at comparison of post-disaster to post-disaster

statistics. We don't say, "Okay, you know, these three counties in California compared to these three counties in West Virginia." We don't necessarily do those comparisons.

What we do do, however, is if there are repeat disasters within the same state, we would want to know what counties were declared in previous events. And one of the reasons for doing that is we have certain requirements of which some requirements for assistance would be placed on the individuals such as if they are in a special flood hazard area, they have to purchase and maintain flood insurance. If they do not maintain flood insurance, they are not going to be eligible for any future disaster assistance for insurable items.

So we would want to know in comparison when was the last disaster declared so that we can start comparing how many flood insurance policies were issued and things of that nature. But we typically don't say,

- "Well, how much did they get last time versus how much are they going to get this time?"

 It's very disaster specific.
- Q. One of the maps that PaRR generated, it shows a geographic map of the declared areas and it shows dots where all the inspections were performed. What other maps are maintained about the disaster? For example, is there a map that shows where money was provided, the houses where repair assistance was provided?
 - A. In terms of a standard map?
- Q. Is it something that FEMA generally produces? Like do you have a map, "These dots are the areas where we provided assistance." Let me find this other map and I'll see if I can be clear.
- A. The reason I asked the question is because my experience working in the field is that where the Federal Coordinating Officer holds his daily briefings with all of his management staff along with the state, they

usually like to decorate the walls with various types of maps that would show any number of different informational points. In other words, they could put red dots to show where the inspections have taken place. They could also indicate dollar amounts disbursed, inspectors out there.

That's a typical map that we may see in the senior conference room for the FCO, so that it provides a visual to see where the concentrated inspections are going to be.

This is something that is not standard. It could be done. It's done often, but the information being requested is going to change depending upon what the FCO would like to see hung up in the conference room.

- Q. And when you say "that," you're looking at Page 1901.
 - A. I'm sorry. Yes.
- Q. Now, just to be clear, you are saying that the FCO could ask for a map like this one in 1901 that provided a geographic

breakdown of where assistance was denied and where assistance was provided.

- A. It's typically where it's provided. And I say that because that's what FEMA is interested in based upon our regulations, how much assistance was provided for eligible categories of damage. So I'm trying to recall if I've ever seen a map simply identifying where assistance is denied. I don't recall that, seeing maps like that.
- Q. But presumably if NEMIS could generate the data that would be necessary to provide a map of where assistance was provided, they could do it on ineligibles as well.
- A. It could be provided. I've just never seen one.

MS. WELLS: Let me just clarify for the record that the map that's shown in Document 1901 is not either of the maps that you've just been talking about, right? This shows something else.

MR. WESEVICH: The map in 1901, as I understand, from Page 1900, that this shows where inspections were conducted.

MS. WELLS: Right. It doesn't show who received benefits and who didn't.

MR. WESEVICH: Correct.

MS. WELLS: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: I might also add
that different maps are produced for different
reasons. If we see a concentration of
applications -- and that is another map I see
frequently is where are people applying from,
what counties.

A lot of times that information might be used in community services, community relations because we have teams of people going out to the affected communities and reaching out to the individuals, asking them to apply, finding out how they are doing, have they received assistance, have they contacted the voluntary organizations, and point them in the system in different directions.

If we see areas where there's a concentration of registrations and we haven't sent teams out there, some maps are used to be able to point people in different directions, saying that could you go visit, you know, this area of the community where we didn't have teams out there yet.

So there's various reasons why different maps uphold. It primarily shows up in the FCO conference room, though, Federal Coordinating Office's conference room.

- Q. And where would that be?
- A. In the joint field office established out in the field within the affected area to run that disaster.
- Q. Because before, we had looked at the September 3 ineligibles report where it said that there were 14,900 ineligibles that could be habitability or deferred maintenance. The question is if you wanted to know where all those deferred maintenance denials were concentrated, could you have made a map?

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, but it would be based upon the ineligibility call.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. Correct.

- A. So in other words, we could ask that information, but I guess my question would be why.
- Q. Is one reason why FEMA might want that information so that it could send out someone from the field office -- and we'll talk about who in a minute -- to check in high concentration areas whether this is deferred maintenance?
- A. No. We've already sent our inspector out there who made that determination that it was either ineligible for insufficient damage or deferred maintenance. So we've already sent out the subject matter expert to go out and look at that. Now, we would have, typically already,

have had community relations staff throughout the areas, you know, assisting people in applying, telling them how to apply, and explaining basic steps of what to expect once they apply.

But we wouldn't send anyone else out to try to second-guess what an inspector has already determined based upon their expertise of going in and actually viewing the damage, meeting with the applicant and going into every room and looking at the house in its entirety for habitability issues.

Q. But if FEMA was concerned about the high incidence of deferred maintenance denials, it would have an easy way to find out where those denials were concentrated.

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: There is a way that it could be depicted on a map if that's what you are asking.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. This is hard to read. We're looking at Page 597. Let me see if I can blow it up some.

Do you know why there were 29,000 initial inspections out of 38,000 applications, why there would have been 9,000 applications without an initial inspection?

- A. There is a report that would tell me if I asked for the report. There's any number of reasons why they may not have been issued for an inspection.
 - Q. Renters being the primary one.
- A. No. Renters would be inspected.

 A business may call up. They wouldn't get an inspection. If they were outside a designated area, as I mentioned previously this morning that a registration would be taken but it wouldn't go into the queue for processing or inspection. So there are any number of reasons why -- and it's pretty typical that we have more applications than we have inspections.

1 Q. By 30 percent? 2 It depends on the area. Ιt Α. depends on the type of event. It depends on 3 4 the designated areas. 5 Okay. So the 14,900 IID denials, Q. 6 that would mean that it's half of all the 7 people who are eligible for repair assistance since there were only 28,000 initial 8 9 inspections. Is that accurate? 10 MS. WELLS: Object to the form of 11 the question. 12 MR. WESEVICH: I'm sorry? 13 MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to 14 the form of the question. You said that half 15 the people who were eligible for repair 16 assistance. 17 MR. WESEVICH: I misspoke. Ι 18 apologize. 19 MS. WELLS: Okay. 20 BY MR. WESEVICH: 21 So you see that this indicates Q. 22 that there were 8,500 people who were found FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

- initially eligible for home repair out of 28,000 of initial inspections. I'm looking at Items L and C.
 - A. Number of initial home repair, 8,550, and you are comparing it to C?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

- A. The number of initial inspections, 28,000. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And does that number strike you, that 22 percent of applicants would be found initially eligible for home repair, does that strike you as a low number?
- A. Potentially. But I've also seen other disasters where numbers are extremely low for any number of reasons as well. So I mean it's something that it might be but until I know the reasons why, which was identified in the ineligibility report, it may or may not raise a lot of red flags.
- Q. But it would raise enough red flags to get FEMA to try to understand what the reason was.

- A. As I say, that would be typically reviewed at the field office of which monitoring does take place. And they would be contacting the NPSC to find out, "Why do we have such a high ineligibility rate?"
- Q. Is that a yes? My only question is would this number, 8500 out of 28,000 initial inspections be enough of itself to raise red flags to cause FEMA to try to understand why?
- A. Potentially. It's not a yes or no. If I were out there and I was overseeing Individual Assistance, it may raise enough red flags that I would make calls to find out why it's ineligible. Now, we did show up here earlier an ineligibility report that's available to the field office. And whether or not they questioned it, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. You've had 21 years experience at FEMA?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. So for you would this raise a red

1 flag and cause you to find out why? 2 I would find out why. And that Α. could be articulated verbally. 3 4 On the next page on 598, it says 0. 5 that zero were marked as the cause of damage 6 due to deferred maintenance. 7 That's what it says, yes. Α. Okay. Now, deferred maintenance 8 0. 9 is one of the lists, one of the causes of 10 damages in the line items. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Is that correct? 13 I believe, yes. In the ACE Α. 14 software system, yes. And is this something that's 15 Q. 16 separate from the areas of deferred 17 maintenance? 18 Α. No. 19 That's the only place where it's 0. 20 listed. 21 That's my knowledge, yes. Α. 22 So is this number reporting that Q. FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000

(800) 956-8996

- no deferred maintenance was checked on the individual applications?
- A. No. It's saying here that -- it's saying that they didn't describe it as the cause of damage which doesn't mean that they didn't have deferred maintenance. The typical causes of damage is going to be tornado, severe weather, hurricane, wind-driven rain. Those are your typical causes of damage.
- Q. If you look at the Item N, it says
 11 appeals resulted in eligibility for
 repairs? That's at the top of 598.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Does that number strike you as low, 11 appeals secured repair assistance out of 28,000 initial inspections?
- A. No, it wouldn't, only because if the inspector did a thorough job, it simply means that in 11 cases that were appealed, there was sufficient information either provided by the applicant to warrant a second inspection which overturned the first

inspection or found additional damages that could still have found deferred maintenance but found additional damages that was overlooked by the initial inspection.

So what this actually tells me is that the inspectors did a thorough job.

- Q. But would this raise any red flags for you, seeing 11 changes?
- A. No. If anything, it would raise the issue that the inspectors did a thorough job. I would be more concerned if I saw an awful lot of appeals overturned. That would raise an issue to say what was missed, what was not considered when the inspectors went out. But with a very low number of overturned appeals tells me that the inspectors did their job.
- Q. Is the task monitor in charge of the field office?
- A. No. They are in charge of the task order to the contract, contractors.
 - Q. Is the FCO in charge of the field

office?

- A. The Federal Coordinating Officer is in charge of the field office along with the state coordinating officer.
- Q. This is that screen that you had referred to earlier, the areas of deferred maintenance. We're looking at Page 621. This does not have the bar over here that you had talked about.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It doesn't have that. But roof isn't listed as an area of deferred maintenance on this Page 622, is it?
- A. No, it isn't because, again, we don't require inspectors to get up on the roof. They would look at what damages from the interior that could weigh decision on what the roof is that we explained earlier between, you know, any water intrusion, age of the water spots, and things of that nature. But we do not require an inspector to get up on the roof.

Q. Does this list ever change of areas of deferred maintenance?

- A. I haven't seen -- no. it's pretty static. I don't recall it changing since it's been added to the ACE software. I mean there was the big change when it was added to the ACE software as a separate window as opposed to being in comments. I don't recall it changing since then because those were overarching areas associated with a structure. So those typically would not change.
- Q. Well, these are, the areas of deferred maintenance is that they are the headings, as far as I can tell, they are the headings of the real property line items.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I'm looking at Page 614. See these, and I'm pointing to the inspection item category. They're all under headings, and those correspond to the areas of deferred maintenance.
 - FEDER REPORTING COMPANY
 (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

the headings that, under each of these headings there is several different line items that would relate to that particular heading. So when you're looking at deferred maintenance you're not looking at line item deferred maintenance. You're looking at the general category and area of deferred maintenance. So they take the headings to know what typically falls under that heading as an area of deferred maintenance.

- Q. And those areas are the same as the inspection item categories on Page 614, as shown in 614.
- A. Pretty much the same. I also see in this heading "Speed Estimating," which is not a heading in the deferred maintenance area. But they are generally the same headings.
- Q. The line items that are listed under "Inspection Items"?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Are those the items that are

- further described by this document 1094,
 that's before and after ten-ninety -- the IHP
 line item descriptions that begin at 1081 and
 1082?
 - A. Yes. Those line item descriptions correlate to the line items within those categories identified on the previous page.
 - Q. Page 621.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. How does the inspector access these line item descriptions?
- A. I believe there are drop-down menus now built into the palm pad that gives a description of that particular line item.

 There's a help screen or a drop-down that allows that.
 - Q. Let's see if we can see it.
- A. There was a help box if you want to go back a couple.
- Q. This is on the calculator. This is on the line items. We were talking about Page 614. Where is the help box? Oh, is it

right here?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that's where you would access
 the line item descriptions that we saw in

 1081?
 - A. I believe so. I do know that they have added those line item descriptions that if the inspector is having trouble to remember exactly what a particular line item is, it is available on the palm pad.
 - Q. But you believe that it's where it says "Help" in the upper left-hand corner of the Windows screen that's on Page 614?
 - A. Yes. But also, you'd have to go into the rooms themselves and then you would be able to access what line items would be there. But yes, you could do that.
 - Q. But I'm just saying if you wanted the broader descriptions of the line items that are contained in that list that begins on Page 1081, you would go to this "Help" or to some button that's on this Page 614.

A. You would go to some button within this ACE software and find that information.

I believe there's also a "Help" button down in that corner. That may also get you to that particular area for further description. It's covered by the FEMA 000614. I believe there's another button that will allow us to seek help in describing what some of these line items may be.

- Q. You're looking at Page 6415. You don't have that in your printed documents.

 Could you look at this and I'll ask a couple questions about it. There's another page to it, so if you'd let me know when you finished reading this 6415, I'll flip the page.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Have you had a chance to read that article?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. FEMA watches the press. Correct?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. And you've got press officers that

see what's written about FEMA.

A. Correct.

Q. And you've seen that in disasters across the country people have complained about the vagueness of this deferred maintenance standard. Is that accurate?

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: That's what's being articulated in this article, yes.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. But have you seen that from across the nation, that these complaints have come in about people not understanding how this deferred maintenance idea works?
- A. I've seen many different articles, some of which just described that FEMA denied assistance not particular to deferred maintenance. This particular article really focuses in on deferred maintenance which doesn't go out in any of our letters. So where they're getting their information on

deferred maintenance, I'm not aware of.

- Q. You see in the beginning of the article, 6415, it says, in the fifth paragraph down the applicant states that "They said they couldn't tell what was damaged before and after, so they denied assistance based on deferred maintenance." Is that the way you understand that it works?
 - A. No, it isn't.
- Q. Does FEMA think that its

 procedures for discerning deferred maintenance

 are adequate and does it intend to look at

 this question about how to do a better job of

 discerning what is deferred maintenance?
- A. Yes. Yes, we are, because deferred maintenance is a term typically used in the building trades. We're trying to better describe what it is that FEMA does by staying with what the actual language is within the 44 CFRs, which is typically was it disaster related; and if it wasn't disaster related or

made worse by the event.

of what the intent of FEMA programs are as opposed to using terms such as "deferred maintenance" or "preexisting condition."

Everything in the 44 CFR and in the authority itself only determines what FEMA would pay for based upon eligibility and based upon habitability and based upon disaster-related damages. So we are trying to improve the way we describe disaster-related damages and the damages that weren't disaster related.

- Q. I hear you saying that FEMA believes it's necessary to better describe what it does. Is that accurate?
- A. Yes. FEMA is always trying to improve on communication and articulation as to what it is FEMA actually can provide. It's an evolving, constant improvement that we try to impose and implement.
- Q. And does FEMA believe -- I mean is FEMA reviewing its methods for discerning what

is a preexisting condition and what is disaster related when there's dual causation?

- A. Dual causation as it refers to?
- Q. When it's not clear what was preexisting and what was disaster related.

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form.

THE WITNESS: FEMA is always

trying to improve how we articulate what it is

people can be eligible for. In other words,

all the regulations and all of our guidance is

trying to stick with what FEMA will pay for.

Years ago FEMA didn't necessarily do an

inspection of an entire house. They simply

went to where damages occurred and captured

those damages.

Now FEMA is requiring all inspectors to do a thorough inspection of the house, a complete inspection, go into every bedroom, every hallway, you know, kitchens, bathrooms, basements, to capture whether or not damages occurred as a result of the

disaster so that it will cut down on appeals or second inspections based upon appeals if people say, "You didn't address my bedroom in the back." FEMA can now say, "Yes, we had an inspector go in and recorded no damages."

So we are always trying to improve

how we capture information and how we articulate information in the correspondence letters that go out to individuals based upon what FEMA's programs are intended for.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. Please look at Page 4605.
- A. What document is that?
- Q. You guys filed it as document

15 | 36 --

MS. WELLS: It's not going to be in there, I don't think.

MR. WESEVICH: -- 36-3 and 36-4.

The Court stamp is not on that one. I don't know why. I think the page service started to stamp all those documents.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. So you've had a chance to read Pages 4605 and 06?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. At least in 2009, some efforts were underway at FEMA to refine the policies that are used to administer individual assistance.
 - A. It was to rewrite the regulations in support of Section 408, yes.
 - Q. And that has not been done yet.

 Correct?
 - A. It's still being pursued.
 - Q. And my only question is is the way that inspectors are expected to judge deferred maintenance one of the items that's to be addressed in those regulations?
 - A. Not in this particular regulation.

 This is the overall IHP regulation, the rule.

 And this is based upon the current regulations that were considered interim regulations published in 2002. So this is a complete

rewrite of those regulations to also address the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act issues that were raised. So this is the overarching document in support of rewriting our entire regulation, Section 206.

- Correct. And there were statutory 0. changes that were required by the Post-Katrina--
 - Α. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- -- statute. But the question is 0. as part of that, are any policy changes included in that for the way that deferred maintenance is judged?
- Α. No, since deferred maintenance is not part of the regulation.
- We've said several times that 0. FEMA's instructions to contractors are that a preexisting condition has to be significantly worsened by the disaster for it to be recorded as a line item that FEMA will pay for. That's correct?
 - If it affects the habitability of Α. FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996 the home, yes.

- Q. Yes. But FEMA doesn't provide contractors with any guidance at all anywhere about how to judge what is significantly worsened.
- A. No. What it does is it provides the guidelines on looking at the disaster-caused damage, disaster-related damage caused by the event and if there is damage that exists that is caused by the event, then the inspector will record that. It does not get into the opposite of that which is to look at deferred maintenance and make a judgment call. It's to focus on what they're supposed to be doing in categorizing, in verifying disaster-related damage.

MR. WESEVICH: Can I take about ten minutes? I think that we're almost done.

(Recessed from 4:51 to 5:09 p.m.)

BY MR. WESEVICH:

Q. I just have a very few follow-up questions.

We had talked about those numbered policies at the very beginning, that there was one central location for the PA policies, but there wasn't for the IA policies. And as I recall, your answer was that you would go to the Intranet, someplace on the Intranet to find the IA policies.

A. Yes.

- Q. The complete group of them.
- A. Yes. They could be found on the Intranet.
- Q. And do you believe that you know where to look for all of them?
- A. My primary source for that for individual policies affecting what we've been talking about today, which is 408 assistance, would be the NPSC website. That would be my primary location. There are several other locations that I could go through through our policy and planning folks, going through our other staff who maintain them. But if I am at my computer and I need it quickly and if I

don't already have it on my computer, I would go to the Virginia NPSC website.

- Q. But if you wanted to be sure that you had all of them, where would you go?
- A. I would actually go to another section because we've been through a routine over the past year and a half of collecting all of our disaster-specific guidance and policy documents, because we're in the process of trying to update those and rescind and sunset some of the disaster-specific guidance policies. So we've gone through over the past year an effort of consolidating all of the policy and guidance descriptors in one location. And that's in our Policy, Planning and Doctrine Branch within Individual Assistance.
- Q. How many are there of those policies? Are there 10,000 or are there 1,000?
- A. I can't remember what the last count was. Again, this is everything that

- we've had. And it was well over 100 different policies.
 - Q. And the PA guide that we looked at earlier today there were something like 250-some, on that order.
 - A. Yes. I think there might even be more than that under Individual Assistance.
 - Q. But all of those policies have not been produced yet in the documents in this case. Right?
 - A. Some of them may not pertain to Section 408 assistance because we have other policies that pertain to other sections of the Stafford Act. We have policies that reiterate Part 9 regarding Executive Orders 11988 and 11990 which deals with flood plain management. So they're not all particular to Section 408 assistance, individual assistance.
 - Q. And is it your testimony that you're sure that you've produced already all of the policies that do pertain to 408?
 - A. Yes. To the best of my knowledge,

everything's been produced.

- Q. How are you sure of that?
- A. Because it was requested, and there aren't too many policies particular to Section 408. Most of our guidance is projected from the 44 CFR. So there is an awful lot -- there are policies. As you can see, those are some of the dated policies. As you see, that's a Disaster Recovery Center services provider's policy on Page 30 which doesn't necessarily reflect 408 assistance. But that is where people go to seek questions regarding Section 408 and the assistance provided.

So that has been provided even though it doesn't affect one's eligibility or one's determination for eligibility. It simply identifies that FEMA can set up these disaster recovery policies for individuals to go in and seek questions, answers, and talk to other Federal partners as well as state agencies to seek assistance. So everything

that was associated with Section 408, to the best of my recollection, has been provided.

Q. And is there anywhere that has a Table of Contents for all of these numbered policies in the 9400 series, the DAP94-blank-blank?

MS. WELLS: Objection; asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, there is. I don't know where I could get my fingers on it because as we have also seen in previous documents, there were policy issues that do not have the numbering system on it. So we do have a listing of policies but they're not all of this format.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. I show you what's been marked as 5411. This appears to be an interim handbook that was published November 2nd, 2002. Do you know whether such a handbook still exists?
 - A. I don't believe it's been updated.
 - Q. Have you seen this document

before?

- A. I do recall this document. There is now what's referred to as a tool kit that is online in the Internet -- Intranet, that is similar to this. This basically evolved into a tool kit which is a full description of the IA programs. So it talks a lot about IHP, ONA, crisis counseling, case management, DRCs. It's a tool kit that's involving every element of the program.
 - O. Who uses the tool kit?
- A. It's used for field staff. It's maintained by both field staff and Headquarters staff. It is updated to the best of our ability to update it. Sometimes we're not as diligent. I know it was updated last year, but we're not as diligent in making sure that every time a decision is made we run in and update that document. But for the most part it is updated.
 - Q. And it's available online where?
 - A. I believe it's on the Intranet and

- it's available, I believe, on the website.

 But it's also something that we can send a

 link out to for individuals asking, you know,

 for the field offices asking for this. It's

 there and it's available.
 - Q. Who participates in updating it?
- A. I have staff that work for me that work with the regions on issues to update.

 Prior to Headquarters also getting involved in maintaining and updating that, it used to be updated by regional office staff in Region 8.
- Q. And as I understand your testimony, this document here that was published as an interim handbook on November the 2nd, 2002 evolved into this field -- you called it a?
 - A. Tool kit.
 - Q. Tool kit.
- A. Yes. This was basically a handbook of all the various programs' processes, overarching descriptions of all the programs. The tool kit does the same thing.

And I believe that is -- this was prior to the tool kit.

- Q. And the updates reflect policy changes made at Headquarters, FEMA Headquarters.
- A. Not just policy changes. It's a more descriptive, more description of what the programs are, what the time lines are for the programs, overarching goals of the programs. It has checklists in there for setting up some of these components, the joint field office, the DRCs. It's a tool kit. It's getting into more detail a little bit about how we operate and where we operate and the components that we operate under.
- Q. Is the tool kit available to PaRR and to PB?
- A. I'm not sure if they ever asked.

 If they asked, it would be.
 - Q. What about to the public?
- A. Again, I don't know if it's ever been asked. I don't think anything's

proprietary that's in there. And it is just basically reiterating a lot of descriptors and description of how we conduct business. It doesn't set policy or it doesn't set regulation. It's basically additional guidance.

Q. Could you describe for me how it can be that an inspector could record line items of real property damage and yet repair assistance not be awarded? What are the circumstances in which that can happen?

MS. WELLS: I'm going to object to the form of the question.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. And I know you can't give me an exhaustive list at this hour.
- A. Right. It's pretty much going through everything we described. Basically, the inspector can record damages in line items but still have a habitability call of no. An example of that that I've experienced is in California when I did QC inspections in

1996-ish. It was after one of the earthquakes out there. And it was also heavy rain that accompanied. It was just timing.

And many homes got flooded but with only one inch of water. It didn't affect the habitability of the home but all the carpet had to be replaced. So there may have been damage that was recorded in line items but did it affect the overall habitability of the home? No. So they were not awarded assistance.

Q. And would that kind of a global call be made by somebody at FEMA Headquarters or where? That "These types of carpet damage we're not going to end up paying for when there's one inch of water."

MS. WELLS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It's part of the processing for that inspection. So unless you have tripping hazards as a result of the carpet not being there, it will not affect the

habitability of the home. So by replacing carpet, it doesn't affect the habitability.

It could be a business rule that's established in NEMIS. It could be a rule that says,

"We're not going to pay for carpeting."

We don't pay for wall covering as well. If there is water stains on the wall, we don't pay for paint because paint doesn't affect the habitability of the home. So there are certain elements that we just won't pay for because it doesn't affect the habitability of the home.

That's why, as I mentioned, the inspector is there to record damages. That's the main focus of what the inspector is to do. He does not make eligibility calls. He simply records damages as he or she sees fit based on what they are seeing in making the determination as to whether or not it is disaster related.

And then they make an overall habitability call as to whether or not the

damages that they are viewing affects the habitability of the home. That gets transmitted back up to NEMIS. And NEMIS, through auto-determination, will say that they're not eligible because it's safe to live in their home because it did not affect the habitability of the home.

BY MR. WESEVICH:

- Q. We are looking at Page 269 here.

 There is a series of these types of manuals that have the large FEMA seal on the center of them. There's one on appeals. There's one on recoupment. There's one on case management.

 But it doesn't appear that we have the complete manuals of any of them. So can you look through the documents around there and tell me what are those manuals? What are they used for?
- A. It would appear that this manual is part of a training manual. The reason for saying that is because it's saying that it's a module with objectives, and it's identifying

what the objectives are and understanding what this manual will do. And this is produced, I would assume, at the NPSC where recoupment is part of the process in the processing of cases or recouping funds based upon the case reviews.

- Q. Is it accurate that many of the NPSC employees are trained in multiple functions? Some of them are trained to handle appeals, some of them are trained to handle recoupment, some of them are trained to handle call center operations?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. So there's a series of manuals to complete a training course in each of those functions. Right?
 - A. Typically, yes.
- Q. And we do not have the complete manuals in the documents that were produced. We only have excerpts from those manuals. Is that right?
 - A. I would have to look at all of the FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

- different manuals to know whether or not it's complete. And since these are produced at the NPSC, I would probably need to -- here's another one on appeals.
- Q. And what's the number, the chapter number on appeals?
 - A. Three.

- Q. That's an important one. So we don't have anything. We don't have two or one on appeals.
- A. No. This is in series. The chapter on recoupment is two. The chapter on appeals is three. So this appears to be in a larger manual of training material used. And these are the various sections within that. That's what I would surmise.
- Q. Well, I wish you would look
 through those and let me know the answer to
 that because I think that there are several -what's that one? See, there's another one for
 supervisor review, and that's three. So it's
 not four. Do you see? You would expect that

- one -- what page is that one, Bates page is that?
 - A. 320.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. So you would expect Page 320 to say four if the appeals one was part three. So it's not clear to us whether we have those complete training manuals. And you can't tell based on flipping through the documents. Correct?
- A. No, no, I can't.
 - Q. Are inspectors instructed to record whether the owner constructed a house that is under inspection? Are owner-built houses subject to -- is that fact recorded in the inspection?
 - A. No, it isn't.
 - Q. They're not told to record that fact?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
 - Q. I thank you for your time.
- 21 A. Thank you.
- MR. WESEVICH: Pass the witness.

EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT BY MS. WELLS:

- Q. Mr. Carleton, I have one follow-up question.
 - A. Sure.

- Q. I want to be clear for the record, so I would ask you to please describe your understanding of what constitutes an inspection.
- A. What constitutes an inspection is it would start with an individual applying for FEMA assistance. In that application, a series of questions are going to be asked.

 And if the individual indicates that they had damage to their home, that would generate an inspection.

That application, information from that application would go over to the inspectors. It would then be issued to a field inspector in the field on a pen tablet. That inspector would then go and make an appointment with the applicant, meet them at

their residence, ask a lot of questions to verify information that they provided during registration.

And then the inspector would do a visual inspection of that entire house. It would be a complete inspection. So they would be required to look at the exterior, look at the interior, go in each of the rooms to include bedrooms, hallways, bathrooms, kitchens, basements, and record whatever damage they saw. They would record damage and make a call as to whether or not it was preexisting damage or as a result of the disaster itself.

They would then mark those line items. They would complete the inspection report. They would then make an overall habitability call for the home. And then that information would get uploaded back to the NPSC for processing in NEMIS.

NEMIS would actually determine eligibility. The inspector doesn't determine

eligibility. The job of the inspector is only to be the eyes and ears of FEMA to collect information that would then be processed, and an eligibility determination made. Once that inspection is completed, the inspector then closes out that inspection and moves on to another inspection.

So it's all left in the hands of the NPSC staff to process that information and determine their eligibility. And through the system, it would then generate a letter to the applicant describing what they are eligible or not eligible for and also describe what the appeal process is.

MS. WELLS: Thank you. That's it.

MR. WESEVICH: Thank you, sir.

(Whereupon, signature not having been waived, the deposition concluded at 5:34 p.m.)

20 + + +

1	
2	CERTIFICATE FOR READING AND SIGNING
3	
4	I hereby certify that I have read
5	and examined the within transcript and the
6	same is a true and accurate record of the
7	testimony given by me.
8	Any corrections I have listed on
9	the separate errata sheet enclosed, indicating
LO	the page and line number of each correction.
L1	
L 2	
L 3	
L 4	
L5	John M. Carleton, Jr.
L 6	
L 7	Date
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
	FEDER REPORTING COMPANY

(202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Lynell C.S. Abbott, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness, whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition, was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computer type under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21 My Commission Expires:

April 30, 2017 22

> FEDER REPORTING COMPANY (202) 863-0000 (800) 956-8996

Notary Public in and for

The District of Columbia